25

Procedural Matters (Open Session) Page 22778

| 1 | Wednesday, 27 November 2024 |
|----|--|
| 2 | [Open session] |
| 3 | [The accused entered the courtroom] |
| 4 | Upon commencing at 9.00 a.m. |
| 5 | PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Mr. Court Officer, please call the case. |
| 6 | THE COURT OFFICER: Good morning, Your Honours. This is the |
| 7 | file number KSC-BC-2020-06, The Specialist Prosecutor versus |
| 8 | Hashim Thaci, Kadri Veseli, Rexhep Selimi, and Jakup Krasniqi. Thank |
| 9 | you, Your Honours. |
| 10 | PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: I note that all the accused are present |
| 11 | in court today. |
| 12 | Before we continue hearing the evidence of Prosecution |
| 13 | Witness W03873, there are some preliminary matters the Panel would |
| 14 | like to address. |
| 15 | On 25 November 2024, 123452 to 123453 and its corresponding |
| 16 | translation was admitted as P01861. Following admission, the Panel |
| 17 | noted that the English translation did not contain the date of the |
| 18 | report which was reflected in the Albanian version, and, therefore, |
| 19 | asked the SPO to provide a revised version. |
| 20 | In Disclosure Package 1512, the SPO disclosed a revised version |
| 21 | of 123452 to 123452-ET. The Panel, therefore, instructs CMU to add |
| 22 | 123452 to 123452-ET disclosed in Disclosure Package 1512 to P01861. |
| 23 | This concludes the Panel's order. |
| 24 | It's been brought to the Panel's attention that the Veseli |

KSC-BC-2020-06 27 November 2024

Defence would like to raise a legal issue before the Panel.

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

Counsel for Mr. Veseli, you may make your submissions. Please 1

- do so in five minutes.
- MR. DIXON: Thank you, Your Honours. We did ask to do it at the
- end of all of the cross-examination before the questions from the
- Bench because it concerns those questions. 5
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: We'll do it now. 6
- MR. DIXON: All right. And it is on behalf of all of the teams. 7
- I understand that the other Defence teams want to add as well. But 8
- Mr. Pace, I think, wants to object to me making an objection. 9
- MR. PACE: Indeed, Your Honours, I do. And yesterday, when the 10
- Defence notified us in the afternoon about their intention, we asked 11
- them, pursuant to paragraph 7 of the Order on the Conduct of 12
- Proceedings, to tell us the basis and the nature of their objection 13
- 14 or of the issues they wish to raise since initially they only phrase
- them as legal issues. 15
- This morning at 8.21 we just were informed by the Defence and 16
- they said they wish to raise legal issues concerning the proposed 17
- 18 documents for use by the Panel; specifically, the appropriateness,
- lawfulness, and fairness of use of these documents, and the prejudice 19
- that arises from indicating that the Panel intend to use a number of 20
- one of the two documents that had not been disclosed prior to the 21
- notification. 22
- Once again, in accordance with the Order on the Conduct of 23
- Proceedings, even though we were only informed of this moments ago, 24
- 25 we responded - this probably hasn't reached you yet, it was a few

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

minutes ago - that we object to them raising these issues in advance

of the Judges' questions including because, based on the information

provided, such submissions are going to be duplicative of F2718 which

is still before Your Honours and which we responded to in writing

earlier this week in F2747, on 25 November, in fact.

to a decision by the Appeals Panel on the matter.

So in light of Defence's notification of the scope of their submissions and the written submissions that already address this issue, we see no point in delaying the proceedings, especially at a moment like this when we have two witnesses ready to go after this one and when this issue has been litigated not only in filings currently before the Panel but also in previous filings also subject

So those are our initial submissions as to why there's no reason to hear the submissions at least as outlined in the e-mail that we were provided noting the scope thereof. Thank you.

PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Thank you. And I am not arguing with you at all, but we're going to go ahead and hear this, but we're limiting it to five minutes for exactly the reasons that you've raised.

MR. DIXON: Yes. Your Honours, it concerns the notification about the documents to be used. These are documents under Rule 102, 103 that were disclosed. And we are objecting to those.

23 And contrary to what Mr. Pace says, we are entitled as the
24 Defence to object to evidence coming in. That's the point of having
25 Defence counsel. So I do appreciate, Your Honours, the opportunity

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

Page 22781

- 1 to address you very briefly on this matter. And there are two parts
- to it. One, we were given hundreds of pages yesterday for the first
- 3 time. We simply haven't had the time to go through all of that.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Well, Mr. Dixon, I'll stop you there maybe for
- a second. You haven't been given these pages yesterday, have you?
- MR. DIXON: No, we've had them for some time, but this is the
- 7 first time we've been told --
- 8 JUDGE METTRAUX: Yes.
- 9 MR. DIXON: -- that we're using them in relation to this
- 10 witness.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Well, my question to you, if I may, is my
- understanding is every single one of the documents of which you've
- been given notice of, you, the Veseli team, had had it in your
- possession for years; am I right?
- MR. DIXON: We've had it for some time. The other teams
- haven't. But Your Honours will know that the way it works in an
- adversarial trial is the Prosecution, if they're going to use a
- document, tells us. We get a prep note. We get time then to look at
- 19 it to object to relevance. If it comes just before the questions are
- due to come from the Judges after we've done cross-examination,
- that's not proper notice. That's unfair. It's prejudicial. That
- goes to the heart of the matters that are raised in our motion, and
- I'm not going to go over those again. That will be ruled on in due
- course.
- But we're saying we do need more time considering that this has

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

ROSOVO SPECIALIST CHAMBELS - BASIC COUL

- been identified for the first time. So that's my first point.
- The second is, Your Honours, in relation to the admissibility of
- the documents which may well arise, and I may need to address you
- 4 further on this later. But there are a number of documents here that
- are simply inadmissible, and I'll just deal with three of those
- 6 briefly.

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

- First of all, in relation to the statement of Ferat Shala. He
- 8 hasn't been called as a witness in this case.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Well, Mr. Dixon, the statement of Mr. Shala is
- not in issue, you raised it last week, is it?
- MR. DIXON: We are objecting to it being admitted because it can
- only come if the witness comes or you go through one of the lex
- specialis rules, Your Honour, as you've said before --
- 14 JUDGE METTRAUX: No one has offered it, Mr. Dixon.
- MR. DIXON: Well, it may well be offered through Your Honours'
- 16 questions, and that's why we're marking the objection now. That's
- 17 the first point.
- The second one is in relation to the Drini trial proceedings.
- And there, once again, Your Honours have ruled on this on 24 July
- 20 2023, saying that, yes, events that take place outside the
- indictment, you can lead evidence on it, but you need to show how
- it's relevant to something inside the indictment. We've had no
- notice of that in relation to the use of these particular documents.
- Once again, if the Prosecution did it, rightly, they'd give us
- notice, and then we'd have a chance to respond.

NOSEVO SPECIALISE CHAMBELS EASIE COA

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

So we're in a situation here where we don't know what's coming. 1 We asked for particular pages to be identified overnight. That 2 hasn't happened either. If we'd done that with Mr. Pace, he would 3 have responded. This is the problem we're in where essentially what's coming is 5 a case from Your Honours, from the Bench, where we're not getting 6 7 proper notice. And then, thirdly, the last point on admissibility in relation 8 to documents, there's a KFOR document, purportedly coming from KFOR, 9 which is the document 3. Once again, it makes allegations about this 10 witness in 2000, outside of the indictment, being involved in a 11 12 kidnapping. Now, once again, no basis for knowing what that allegation is about, where it comes from. And it's prejudicial 13 14 because we can't cross-examine if we don't know what the allegation is, and a witness is not going to be called about that, let alone the 15 fact that it's outside the indictment. 16 So those are our points on admissibility, but our main one is 17 the first one I made which is that we do need more time to consider 18 these documents because this has come out of the blue. 19 JUDGE METTRAUX: Can I ask you this, Mr. Dixon: In relation to 20 document 102(3), do I understand the procedure correctly that you 21 received notice under that rule from the Prosecution of documents 22

KSC-BC-2020-06 27 November 2024

that you might elect to obtain if you consider them relevant --

JUDGE METTRAUX: -- right? And am I right to understand that

23

24

25

MR. DIXON: Yes.

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

you asked for each and every one of those from the Prosecution on the

- assumption that they were relevant. Can I therefore assume that you
- 3 had reviewed them at the time?
- MR. DIXON: Yes. We asked for exculpatory material because,
- obviously, from the Defence point of view --
- JUDGE METTRAUX: No, no, I'm not asking about 103. I'm asking
- about 102(3). Those are documents that you specifically asked the
- 8 Prosecution to provide for you on the assumption that they were
- 9 relevant and that were disclosed on that basis to you.
- MR. DIXON: Yes, we asked for a whole range of documents to
- prepare the Defence, and they were all taken into account, including
- with this witness. But when the Prosecution doesn't notify us that
- they're going to use that material, they've said it's unused
- material, then we focus on the material that is coming.
- This is the first time we now know that this material is going
- to be used with this witness to seek to bolster the evidence in some
- 17 way, so the notice is coming now as to its use. And it's a big
- difference to have material that you know could be relevant and then
- 19 have material that you know is going to be relied on when a witness
- 20 comes by the Prosecution.
- That's our submission for consideration, Your Honours.
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: [Microphone not activated].
- Thank you. A decision will be made --
- MS. TAVAKOLI: Sorry, Your Honours.
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: -- in due course. Yes?

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

Page 22785

MS. TAVAKOLI: We have a separate, as indicated by Mr. Veseli -sorry, Mr. Veseli's counsel, the Selimi Defence and the Thaci Defence
have a slightly different issue which is that three documents for us
and one for Selimi, we did not have at all in our possession. We had
not requested them pursuant to Rule 102(3), so we didn't have them
until yesterday.

Now, as I understand that rule, the SPO has to provide notice to the Defence of any material in its possession and the Defence can request that which is material to its preparation. So the Defence makes an assessment of what it needs to see in order to defend its client. The SPO did not include those items in its exhibit list, and these items have never been in any party's PQ, presentation queue, which underscores that the Thaci Defence did not think that these three items were relevant to it.

Therefore, the first time that we got a copy of those was yesterday. It's a hundred pages of material midway through a witness's evidence, which is insufficient time to seek instructions from the client whilst in court. If we'd have known exactly which pages would have been relevant, we could have asked and taken, you know, more directed instructions, but we didn't.

And, again, if the SPO, reiterating Mr. Dixon's point, had come to Court and asked midway through a witness's evidence to add three documents to their queue that the Defence had never received, I would assume that Your Honours would deny that for being prejudicial due to a lack of notice. I'm not quite sure why the same doesn't apply when

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

the documents come from the Bench.

So that's our objection. Just because they're disclosed to us 2

under Rule 102(3) doesn't mean we have a copy of them because we're 3

only required to request those which we think are material, and we

have to make an assessment because otherwise it becomes unwieldy and

impossible for the Defence to do its job properly. 6

7 Thank you.

1

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PACE: Your Honour, if I may briefly respond now that we've 8

heard the substance. Thank you.

First of all, I will start by saying that the submissions by counsel for Mr. Veseli are premature. It's premature to ask for more time. We haven't heard what, if any, of those documents will be used by the Panel. If there were to be such a request for more time, that should follow afterwards. We are not, as counsel incorrectly said, making objections to evidence coming in. There is no tender of

evidence by the Judges at this stage, and so this is not what we're

dealing with. 17

> In terms of the submission of receiving hundreds of pages for the first time, this has already been covered. They are not being received, hundreds of pages, for the first time, at least not by the Veseli team who had all the items. In that regard, I also note that almost every one of the documents notified by the Panel mention the witness currently on the stand specifically by name. 217 of those pages are in the statement which was mentioned of Mr. Ferat Shala. Part 7 of that very statement was put to a witness by the Krasniqi

Procedural Matters (Open Session) Page 22787

Defence during cross-examination on 18 July, and, as previously

mentioned by Judge Mettraux, that statement, Parts 1 and 2 thereof in

particular, were even referred to during judicial questioning just

4 last week.

Next, I'd like to clarify that the SPO is under no obligation to include all the documents it intends to use with a witness in its witness preparation note. The place for that is the presentation queue. Certainly most usually, I would say all, of the documents are addressed in witness preparation, but there is no obligation on us to actually use those in the preparation session with the witness. We can put them on our queue as long as they have been duly notified and as long as we follow the procedures and then use them for the first time in court with the witness. So that is just to clear the record.

Again, premature submissions on admissibility. And in terms of the statement of Ferat Shala, everybody here knows, the Panel most of all would know, admissibility of a prior statement can only go in under certain provisions of the rules, so that is clearly not at issue here.

Another thing to clarify from counsel for Mr. Veseli's submissions is that we are not -- the SPO is not under any obligation to provide page numbers of documents on its presentation queue. To my recollection, the past few weeks there was one instance where a witness did not answer questions during witness preparation. The Defence noted there were two or three items which were quite lengthy on our presentation queue, and because they had no indication of the

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

- pages, we told them which we may use with the witness. That was in
- the specific circumstances and, frankly, out of the goodness of our
- own heart because we are not obliged to do so nor should we be.
- Similarly, in terms of the request to the Panel to indicate the
- 5 pages, there is no basis for that either.
- I think hopefully by now the 102(3) procedure, having been at
- 7 trial for so long, is clear to everyone. Notification is there. The
- 8 parties may do as they wish. The parties are fully aware, in
- 9 accordance with the disclosure framework, which I believe has been in
- place since the pre-trial phase, that the Judges get all the material
- on the list. And so, obviously, the parties' choice not to request
- specific items is their own and the consequences follow from that as
- well.
- 14 As to yesterday's request for disclosure, we immediately
- approached the Defence to sort out the issue. We noted that the
- 16 Thaci Defence were missing three items. They were disclosed, I
- 17 believe, within an hour, and one item to the Selimi Defence. Again,
- any argument that there should be a delay or time now before the
- Judges ask any questions is premature. Certainly, once the Judges
- ask their questions, if the Defence can come and make a reasoned,
- grounded argument as to why they need a reasonable amount of time to
- review a certain document and particular parts which were put to the
- witness or relevant to context, we can deal with it then.
- Thank you.
- 25 MR. DIXON: If I might just say --

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

Page 22789

- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Just a second. 1
- MR. DIXON: -- one line.
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Just a second.
- MR. DIXON: Okay.
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Victims' Counsel, do you wish to have 5
- any comment on this? 6
- 7 MR. LAWS: No, thank you, Your Honour.
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Now, Mr. Dixon, quickly. 8
- MR. DIXON: Yes, one line. I do appreciate Mr. Pace saying that 9
- subject to Your Honours' order, that we may have some time after the 10
- questions and we can address it then. 11
- But then just one other sentence which is in relation to the 12
- Mr. Shala, we did object last week to the use of his testimony. And 13
- 14 it shouldn't be a substitute to read the testimony onto the record
- instead of looking at admitting the statement. Because if the 15
- testimony goes on the record that way, we still don't have the right 16
- to cross-examine him. That's our main objection. We have the right 17
- to cross-examine evidence that's used against us. 18
- Thank you, Your Honours. 19
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Thank you. We will make a decision and 20
- file it timely. 21
- Yes, Mr. Ellis. 22
- MR. ELLIS: Your Honour, if I may very briefly, just to clarify 23
- our position on 102(3) material. 24
- It's worth recalling that the original list was some 68.000 25

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

- documents. We've requested documents from those. We've used them as
- 2 basis for Defence investigations. But it's another thing entirely to
- 3 be prepared for the use of any one of the documents from that by now
- 4 much more than 68.000 list with a specific witness, and that's where
- 5 the issue with notice arises.
- 6 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Mr. Tully.
- 7 MR. TULLY: No, I support the submissions of our colleagues, and
- 8 Ms. Tavakoli addressed our specific concern. Thank you, Your Honour.
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Thank you very much. As I said, we will
- make a [Microphone not activated].
- 11 So we will continue with the evidence of Prosecution
- 12 Witness W03873.
- 13 Madam Court Usher, please bring the witness in.
- 14 Yes, Mr. Ellis.
- MR. ELLIS: Your Honour, I've reviewed the position overnight,
- and I will not have any questions for the witness this morning.
- 17 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: [Microphone not activated].
- MR. ELLIS: No.
- MR. PACE: I have brief redirect, Your Honour.
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: All right.
- [The witness takes the stand]
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: I note for the record that Duty Counsel
- for W03873 is present in the courtroom.
- 24 Good morning, Witness.
- 25 Good morning, Duty Counsel.

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

MR. KOCI: Good morning, Your Honour. 1

PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Witness, today we are going to continue

your testimony. I remind you to please try answer to the questions 3

clearly, with short sentences. If you don't understand a question,

feel free to ask counsel to repeat the question or tell them you 5

don't understand and they will attempt to clarify. Also, please 6

remember to try to indicate the basis of your knowledge of the facts 7

and circumstances upon which you will be questioned. 8

I remind you that you are still under an obligation to tell the truth as stated by you in your solemn declaration. I also remind you that the assurances provided to you by the Panel are still applicable and that refusal to give testimony may be sanctioned with the

imposition of a fine. 13

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

Please also remember to speak into the microphone and wait five seconds before answering a question, and speak at a slow pace for the interpreters to catch up.

If you feel the need to take a break, please let us know and we 17 will accommodate you. 18

The cross-examination by the Defence teams is completed. The 19 SPO has asked for leave to ask some redirect questions. 20

Mr. Pace, you have the floor. 21

MR. PACE: Thank you, Your Honour. 22

WITNESS: HALIL QADRAKU [Resumed] 23

[The witness answered through interpreter] 24

25 Re-examination by Mr. Pace:

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Re-examination by Mr. Pace

- 1 Q. And good morning, Witness.
- 2 A. Good morning.
- 3 Q. I will first read some questions and answers from your
- 4 cross-examination yesterday.
- MR. PACE: And for those who would like to follow, this is from
- 6 yesterday's realtime transcript where I will read from page 94, line
- 7 15, to page 95, line 10.
- Q. Witness, it won't be on your screen, so please listen to the
- 9 interpretation in Albanian:
- "Q. And it's right, isn't it, that Mr. Ferat Shala and Mr. Elmi
- Recica were your counterparts? They were head of G2 in Drenica and
- Nerodime, respectively, reporting to their zone commanders.
- "A. I believe they had these positions in their zones. I did
- not know Ferat Shala or Elmi Recica during the war. I knew them
- 15 after the war.
- 16 "Q. You had no dealings with them during the war, any
- interactions, conversations. That's right, isn't it?
- "A. I stated that I did not know them. It could be that I met
- them, but I did not know who's who.
- "Q. And as far as you know, they were not working as deputies
- for Mr. Veseli. That's right, isn't it?
- "A. To my knowledge, yes. I do not know what ... their work.
- 23 I did not know them.
- "Q. So just to be clear, your answer is that you did ... know
- what work they were doing. Other than that, they were the G2s of the

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)

- Re-examination by Mr. Pace
- two zones I've mentioned, Drenica and Nerodime, respectively; is that
- 2 right?
- "A. That's right. But again, I did not know them personally,
- and I might be mistaken here. I believe they were in their zones,
- one from Nerodime and the other one from Drenica."
- Next, I'm going to take you to an excerpt from your SPO
- 7 interview which has now been admitted into evidence, and then I'll
- 8 ask you some questions.
- 9 MR. PACE: I'd like to call up P01854.5-ET alongside the
- Albanian, P01854.5-AT. In the English, I'm going to read from page
- 9, lines 3 to 8, which correspond in Albanian to page 7, line 22, to
- 12 page 8, line 2.
- Yes, in the Albanian, we need to scroll down because from page 7
- 14 I'm going to start at line 22, and then it continues on to page 8,
- line 2. Yes, that's good. Thank you.
- Q. So, Witness, just again for clarity, what's on your screen right
- now, on the left in Albanian, on the right in English, is from your
- SPO 2019 interview which is now admitted into evidence.
- "Q. So, who was his deputy, then, to your knowledge, to your
- 20 understanding?"
- Your answer:
- "Ferat Shala and Ilmi Recica. I don't know if they were his
- deputies or part of his... members of his staff, but I know that I
- met them at the general headquarters."
- And, Witness, to be clear, when you were asked "who was his

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)

Re-examination by Mr. Pace

- deputy, " it's Kadri Veseli that's being referred to. 1
- So, Witness, as we can see here, in your SPO interview your 2
- understanding was that Ferat Shala and Elmi Recica were Mr. Veseli's 3
- deputies or members of his staff. As we saw before, during
- cross-examination, you stated you believe they were head of G2 in 5
- Drenica and Nerodime, and then you stated you did not know what their 6
- 7 work was.
- So my question to you is, is it the case that while you did not 8
- know precisely what their work was, your understanding was that they 9
- were working with Mr. Veseli as deputies or members of his staff? 10
- MR. DIXON: Your Honours, that's a misstatement of what's there 11
- in front of Your Honours. He never said that they were his deputies 12
- or part of his staff. He says, "I don't know ..." 13
- 14 So I think it needs to be put what's there as it's been read out
- and ask the witness, without leading him, what he means by that. 15
- MR. PACE: Your Honour, what is leading is counsel's 16
- submissions. I read precisely from the SPO interview, and then I 17
- am -- and I put precisely what was said during cross-examination. 18
- This is, again, an objection that should not have been made in the 19
- presence of the witness, and I request --20
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: The objection is overruled. 21
- MR. PACE: -- that you overrule that. 22
- Thank you. 23
- Witness, I will repeat the question. We saw here in your SPO 24
- interview your understanding being that Ferat Shala and Elmi Recica 25

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)

Page 22795

Re-examination by Mr. Pace

- were Mr. Veseli's deputies or members of his staff.
- MR. DIXON: That's the mischaracterisation right there.
- MR. PACE: I'm sorry, Your Honour. Counsel has been overruled.
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: [Microphone not activated].
- 5 THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter's note: The speakers are
- 6 overlapping. It is impossible to interpret. Thank you.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: The objection was overruled, and it was
- 8 also a speaking objection. And I will continue to overrule your
- 9 objections if they are speaking objections. We have a procedure
- available to deal with a speech that you want to make, but it should
- not be in front of the witness.
- MR. DIXON: Your Honour, all I was saying, he's mischaracterised
- what's read there.
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: [Microphone not activated].
- You've said that and it's been ruled on.
- Go on.
- 17 Please don't interrupt again.
- 18 MR. PACE:
- 19 Q. Witness, once again, in your SPO interview your understanding
- was that Ferat Shala and Elmi Recica were Mr. Veseli's deputies or
- 21 members of his staff. During cross-examination, you stated that you
- believed they were head of G2 in Drenica and Nerodime, and then you
- stated that you did not know what their work was.
- My question is, is it the case that, while you did not know
- precisely what their work was, your understanding was that they were

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)

Re-examination by Mr. Pace

working with Mr. Veseli as his deputies or members of his staff?

- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: [Microphone not activated].
- 3 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] I think I gave this statement in
- 4 2019 to the Specialist Prosecutor's Office, 20 years after the war.
- 5 My knowledge of Elmi Recica and Ferat Shala, I do know them now.
- 6 However, I do not remember specifically when I met them, when I saw
- 7 those two persons.
- I can say that I think that because after the war they worked as
- 9 collaborators of Kadri Veseli. This is what I heard. And perhaps it
- is in this context that in 2019 I said that I think that they
- 11 collaborated or were part of the staff of Kadri Veseli.
- However, as I have stated before, and as I'm stating it now,
- during the war I did not meet with them. With respect to the sector,
- I did not cooperate with them, I did not report to them, nor did they
- 15 give me any orders in my sector.
- 16 Q. Witness, is your evidence now that -- sorry.
- 17 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Just a second.
- 18 Yes?
- MR. KOCI: [Interpretation] Your Honours, I'm very cautious not
- to influence the witness in any way by my interventions. But since
- we're going into some complex questions, perhaps it would be right to
- have the Albanian version of the question when that question is put
- up on the screen in English.
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: [Microphone not activated].
- MR. KOCI: [Interpretation] Because my client cannot understand

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Robovo bpecialist chambers - basic coa

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)

Re-examination by Mr. Pace

- 1 English. I have the English version of the question here on my
- screen, but I do not have the Albanian one. Thank you. I apologise.
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Do you have the Albanian version
- 4 available?
- 5 MR. PACE: Yes.
- Q. And, Witness, in light of your answer, I'm going to go back a
- 7 little bit in terms of reading to you the context of what was asked
- 8 to you during the very same SPO interview, and then I will ask you
- 9 another question.
- 10 So in the English --
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: [Microphone not activated].
- 12 Can he have that on the screen in Albanian, please?
- MR. PACE: Yes. To note, first of all, Your Honour, what I read
- was already on the screen in Albanian.
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: All right.
- MR. PACE: And what I'm going to read now is just a few lines
- over from the same page.
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: All right. That's all I'm -- I'm just
- checking to make sure we're on the same page.
- MR. PACE: Yes. Thank you, Your Honour. And I may need some
- 21 assistance from the Albanian-speaking staff because this is being
- done now on-the-fly.
- In English, I'm going to read from page 8, line 10, of the same
- document that we're at, so just one page before. In Albanian, I'm
- hoping that it's going to be the same page from line 5. If perhaps

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)

Page 22798

Re-examination by Mr. Pace

- an Albanian interpreter could now confirm to me that page 8, line 10
- in English corresponds to page 7, line 5 in Albanian, that would be
- 3 much appreciated.
- 4 THE INTERPRETER: The interpreter can confirm that line 5
- 5 corresponds to line -- in Albanian corresponds to line 10 in English.
- 6 MR. PACE: Thank you. So, counsel, it is now --
- 7 MR. KOCI: Now it's okay.
- 8 MR. PACE: Thank you, yes.
- 9 Q. So, Witness, I'm going to read from the very same interview, in
- fact, from just a few lines before the question and answer I just
- read to you. I will do that, and then I have some questions:
- "Q. Because that is what I'm trying to understand because
- Mr. Veseli would have had to coordinate whole of Kosovo. So, you...
- in whole Kosovo you may have common problems, one in Llap, one in
- Pashtrik, so I would expect that he would pass messages through the
- zone command that you work on the same aspect. Sorry, but [would
- 17 you] not know if you don't... if you're not informed from the top
- 18 level.
- 19 "A. My... all I did my entire work consisted solely in
- 20 informing the general headquarters. This is all I did and nothing
- else, and I don't recall any such occasion when something in
- 22 particular specific was requested from him or that he requested us to
- do something because we didn't leave space for such a request. We
- did what we had to do. And besides, the most of the time period I'm
- referring to during this time period, he was not in" go to the next

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)

Re-examination by Mr. Pace

- page in English "Kosovo. He was either in Rambouillet or Oslo, 1
- signed agreements. He was... he was abroad most of the time. 2
- "Q. So, who was his deputy, then, to your knowledge, to your 3
- understanding?
- Ferat Shala and Ilmi Recica. I don't know if they were his
- deputies or part of his... members of his staff, but I know that I 6
- 7 met them at the general headquarters."
- Witness, as you see here, the question and answer I read to you 8
- before, you were responding in terms of a timeframe around the time 9
- at least of Rambouillet, which would be March 1999. Now, you are 10
- telling the Judges this morning during testimony that you believe you 11
- provided this answer in relation to the positions of Shala and Recica 12
- possibly after the war. 13
- 14 Can you explain this discrepancy between the prior statement
- that you gave to the SPO in 2019 and your evidence during 15
- cross-examination and earlier today to the Judges? 16
- I think I'm telling the truth. I don't think I referred here to 17
- March, April 1999. On 1 April 1999, I met with Kadri Veseli, and I 18
- did not meet anymore with any deputy or any employee of our service 19
- at the General Staff. I only told you that when I was asked in 20
- Prishtine who could be the deputy. So I had 20 years then to know 21
- them, and I said this thinking that after the war they were 22
- associates or collaborators of Kadri Veseli or his office. But I did 23
- not have the knowledge to be able to state that they were deputies of 24
- his. 25

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)

Procedural Matters

I might perhaps have said things based on what I learned during 1

- the last 20 years. I don't believe I have mentioned the date, that 2
- is, March, April 1999, of them being his deputies. I might have 3
- omitted some things. This is what I knew and this is what I stated.
- MR. PACE: No further questions.
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Thank you. 6
- 7 MR. DIXON: Your Honours, sorry, if I may address just one
- matter of translation, perhaps without the witness. 8
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Yes. 9
- MR. DIXON: Just so if there's anything further arising, 10
- Mr. Pace can still ask further questions. 11
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Go ahead if it's about the translation. 12
- MR. DIXON: But perhaps in the absence of the witness out of an 13
- 14 abundance of caution.
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Yes, that's all right. 15
- Witness, we're going to take a short break anyway. Before we 16
- get to the Judges' questions, we're going to take a break. 17
- 18 So you may leave the courtroom now with the Court Usher. Please
- do not speak to anyone. 19
- [The witness stands down] 20
- MR. DIXON: Thank you, Your Honours. I didn't want to interrupt 21
- any further. But I understand there is a translation issue in 22
- relation to this answer regarding Mr. Shala and Mr. Recica, 23
- translation in respect of his SPO interview, not in the court today, 24
- and I would ask that that's checked, and if any further matters arise 25

Robovo Specialise Chambels Basic Coa

they could then be addressed.

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Just give the page and the line numbers.
- MR. DIXON: Yes. So in the English, it's on line 6 going over
- 4 to 7. I understand obviously I'm instructed on this, because I
- don't speak Albanian that the words "of his staff" do not appear in
- 6 the Albanian, so it simply reads:
- 7 "I don't know if they were his deputies or part of his," and it
- 8 says, "members."
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: And that's what you want to have
- 10 checked?
- MR. DIXON: Yes, just checked whether "of his staff" was said by
- 12 him in the interview in the Albanian and then recorded there. I
- mean, it may amount to nothing in relation to the questions, but just
- 14 for accuracy for the record.
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: [Microphone not activated].
- 16 MR. DIXON: I was asked to have that checked.
- 17 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: [Microphone not activated].
- This was not from the transcript, though. This was from a
- 19 previous statement.
- MR. DIXON: Yes. So this wasn't from the transcript today.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: So you need to present that in the
- proper manner to the Registry to have it checked.
- MR. DIXON: Yes.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: All right?
- MR. DIXON: I just wanted to raise it for the record and then we

Robovo bpecialise chambers Basic ee

- can go through it that way. If there's anything arising, I don't
- think there is, it could be dealt with later.
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Do you wish to do anything further with
- 4 this?
- 5 MR. PACE: No, not based --

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

- 6 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Do you wish to call the witness back for
- 7 any reason?
- 8 MR. PACE: No, not based on the suggested change. As
- 9 Your Honour mentioned, it can be checked. But even if that were to
- be true, I would not have further questions on the matter.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: All right. Fine.
- MR. DIXON: We'll get that checked. Thank you for that
- opportunity, Your Honours.
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: [Microphone not activated].
- We'll take a 30-minute break at this point so that we can deal
- with your motions or your question and be back to you at about ten
- 17 after the hour.
- MR. DIXON: Thank you, Your Honours.
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: So we're adjourned until ten after
- 20 10.00.
- 21 --- Break taken at 9.40 a.m.
- --- On resuming at 10.36 a.m.
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Before we continue with the Judges'
- questioning of Prosecution Witness W03873, the Panel will address two
- 25 matters.

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

First, turning to the submission this morning. The Panel

clarifies that it has not released a presentation queue. Rather, it

has provided notice via e-mail of the subset of materials the Panel

may use when questioning the witness.

Second, the Panel notes that in giving the parties and

participants advance notice of Rule 102(3) and 103 material it may

use, the Panel was responsive to the Defence's submissions about

notice regarding such material. The Panel recalls that such notice

is in line with the Panel's oral order of 5 June 2024.

Having heard the parties' submissions on the documents notified in advance of the Judges' questions, the Panel notes that similar submissions are before the Panel in F02718. Therefore, the Panel will not rule on this issue at the present time. Rather, the Panel will take into consideration the submissions from this morning when issuing a decision on F2718 and connected filings.

The Panel will therefore proceed with Judges' questions. If the Defence requires further time to prepare after Judges' questions, they can certainly make a specific request identifying why and how much time they need to prepare, and the Panel will consider such requests.

As to the issue of admissibility, this issue has not arisen at the present time and therefore will not be addressed in this order.

This concludes the Panel's oral order.

Number two.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

On November 26, 2024, the Panel entered an MFI designation for

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

- 113623-02 as MFI P01863. 1
- The SPO showed parts of 113623-02 to W03873 but sought admission 2
- of the entirety of 113623-02. The SPO argued that the entirety of 3
- 113623-02, including the excerpts not shown to W03873, were relevant
- to the case and provided context to the excerpts shown to the 5
- witness. 6
- 7 The Veseli Defence objected to the admission of the entirety of
- the video, arguing that only the parts of the video shown to the 8
- witness should be admitted. 9
- Having considered the parties' submissions, the Panel is 10
- satisfied that the entirety of 113623-02 is relevant as it provides 11
- context to the witness's evidence. 12
- Regarding authenticity, the Panel is satisfied that the video is 13
- 14 from television interview and bears all the relevant indicators of
- its origin. 15
- Regarding the probative value of this item, the Panel notes that 16
- the witness confirmed that what he said in the video was true, and 17
- that several parts of the video were shown to the witness, including 18
- by the Defence, and that the witness confirmed the contents of the 19
- excerpts. 20
- Lastly, the Panel considers that no prejudice arises. 21
- Defence has been able to use MFI P01863 in its cross-examination of 22
- W03873. 23
- This concludes the Panel's second oral order. 24
- One additional thing before we begin. During Judges' 25

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

- questioning, if you have issue with something that you want to raise, 1
- we will do it outside the presence of the witness. Just stand and be 2
- recognised, and I will then excuse the witness, and then we can go 3
- forward on that, and you can feel more free to say whatever you wish.
- So I hope that's understood. 5
- Please bring the witness in. 6
- THE COURT OFFICER: Your Honours, as a follow up on your second 7
- oral order, just to confirm that the evidentiary status of P01863 8
- will be changed from MFI to admitted. Thank you, Your Honours. 9
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Thank you. 10
- [Microphone not activated]. Sorry, I turned it off. 11
- We'll go one hour at this time, and then we'll take a half-hour 12
- break and then finish up until noon or lunch recess. And then we'll 13
- 14 see how much more time we need.
- [The witness takes the stand] 15
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Witness, we apologise for the long 16
- delay, but sometimes things are necessary and have to be addressed. 17
- 18 So we will proceed now. Some of the Judges have questions for you.
- We'll begin with Judge Barthe, who is to my left, your right. 19
- Please give him your attention. 20
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you, Judge Smith. 21
- Questioned by the Trial Panel: 22
- JUDGE BARTHE: And good morning, Mr. Qadraku and Mr. Koci. 23
- Mr. Qadraku, the Panel has --24
- 25 Α. [No interpretation].

-

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- JUDGE BARTHE: -- more questions for you, which we believe are
- 2 necessary to better understand your evidence and to clarify some
- issues that were raised by the parties.
- 4 My first question is about what you told the Prosecution during
- 5 your preparation session last week and what is reflected in
- 6 Preparation Note 1. According to paragraph 5 of that note, you told
- 7 the Prosecution that you were arrested and, I might add, later
- 8 sentenced to 18 months in prison for, as you said in your preparation
- 9 session, allegedly saluting with your fist in honour of the Albanian
- 10 President Enver Hoxha who had died on 11 April 1985.
- My question is simply this: Did you or did you not raise your
- fist in honour of the late president, Albanian President Enver Hoxha
- at that day or on that day?
- 14 A. Your Honour, at the time I told the Yugoslav Army prosecutors
- that that was not true because I would not offend the then president
- Enver Hoxha in front of the Yugoslav Army. Mr. Enver Hoxha had its
- own Albanian flag. We had the Yugoslav flag. And I would never have
- done such an offence.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. In other words, the accusation was
- wrong. Is that what you are saying? You didn't raise your fist?
- 21 A. That's correct. I didn't.
- JUDGE BARTHE: That's what I wanted to clarify. Thank you.
- 23 My next question is -- or my next questions are about paragraph
- 13 of Preparation Note 1, more specifically about what you said,
- according to that note, on page 6.

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- 1 There you said, or according to what the SPO recorded in the
- note, that when you re-entered Kosovo from Albania with a group of
- around 18 people in January 1999, you were among the first to do so
- 4 after the groups of Commander Drini, Ekrem Rexha, Muje Krasniqi, and,
- as you said here, students from Krushe e Madhe had been ambushed. Do
- 6 you recall saying that to the Prosecution during your preparation
- 7 session?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. Do you know that there were
- allegations that Commander Drini was responsible for the ambush
- and/or the death of Muje Krasniqi?
- 12 A. Your Honour, at the time I was there. I saw off
- 13 Commander Drini, Muje Krasniqi, and the 140 comrades that set off on
- 14 December. Following their ambush, of course all of us, Xheladin,
- Plaku, and I can here specify Ramadan Krasniqi, the brother of
- Mr. Selimi, all our comrades, we all tried to understand what
- happened with the ambush of 14 December when 46 of our soldiers were
- 18 killed.
- I never remember to have blamed somebody specifically with a
- name and a surname, because this was an ambush, this was an unequal
- confrontation. And to what I know from my stay in Cahan at the time,
- nobody blamed Commander Drini for this event because he himself was
- part of that large group.
- JUDGE BARTHE: So you haven't heard of any allegations against
- Commander Drini that he was responsible for the ambush or for the

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- death of specifically Muje Krasniqi. Is that what you are saying? 1
- Your Honour, today, 25 years after the war, my memory, my 2
- recollection has various information stored. I spoke on the name of 3
- the KLA staff that was at the time in Cahan. After the war, many
- articles, many newspapers, many people who were politically 5
- orientated against us had various conspiracies, and many things were 6
- talked about to that extent that it would be impossible for me to 7
- summarise all the accusations that came from our political opponents 8
- with the aim of blemishing one or another. This is all ill-intended 9
- and defamation. 10
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. Do you know whether Muje Krasniqi was 11
- related to one of the accused in this courtroom? 12
- Much later I learned that there was a family relation between 13
- 14 Rexhep and Ramadan Selimi, maternal uncle-nephew, that relationship.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Just to be clear, you mentioned Mr. Rexhep and 15
- Mr. Ramadan Selimi. Are you talking about Muje Krasniqi? Because I 16
- was asking about Muje Krasnigi and whether he was related to one of 17
- the accused here. 18
- I don't know. I don't know exactly. I read that there was a 19
- family relationship between Rexhep Selimi and Ramadan Selimi, who is 20
- Rexhep's brother, and this is information I read on newspapers. 21
- JUDGE BARTHE: So you don't have any information whether Muje 22
- Krasnigi was related to one of the accused? And you can leave 23
- Mr. Ramadan Selimi aside. We're not talking about the same person, 24
- 25 Mr. Muje Krasniqi and Mr. Ramadan Selimi.

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- I didn't have such knowledge personally. 1
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. I'd like to move on to paragraph 16 2
- of Preparation Note 1, where you talked about the KLA general 3
- headquarters in Klecke. And you said it is, according to that note,
- it is possible you went to Radio Free Kosovo and then to the KLA 5
- General Staff in Klecke. There was no need for you to go there at 6
- other times because if there was information for the KLA 7
- General Staff to provide, it would be sent to the Pashtrik 8
- operational zone commander, and all information you had for the KLA 9
- General Staff would be transmitted through the Pashtrik operational 10
- zone commander. 11
- Mr. Qadraku, my first question is: Was the general headquarters 12
- or the headquarters of the General Staff, KLA General Staff, in 13
- 14 Klecke or was it in Divjake? At the time, I mean.
- I remember that the seat of the General Staff was in Klecke. 15
- do not know the distinction between Klecke, Divjake, and Berisha. 16
- I'm not very familiar with those villages. I've been there on two or 17
- three occasions, and I'm not familiar with the borders or delineation 18
- between these villages, where Klecke ends and where Divjake begins. 19
- JUDGE BARTHE: So do you know whether these two villages, Klecke 20
- and Divjake, were close each other? 21
- I believe they're close, but I don't know which is which. 22
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. On a different issue but concerning 23
- the same paragraph, you said, and you repeated that I think yesterday 24
- 25 during your examination by the parties, that you personally never

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- contacted the General Staff directly. I mean, apart from the letter 1
- we saw dated 14 March 1999, where you said you don't know whether 2
- this letter reached the General Staff. But my question is: Is that
- still your evidence, that you never contacted the General Staff
- directly during the time you were head of the intelligence and 5
- counter-intelligence in the Pashtrik operational zone? 6
- 7 During the war, I was at the General Staff on three occasions.
- I always tried to maintain the contact through my command. I do not 8
- recall to have contacted the General Staff without notifying the 9
- commander apart from the documents that we read yesterday. 10
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. And by your commander, you're 11
- referring to Commander Drini; is that right? 12
- Yes. When I speak about the command, it's Commander Drini, it's 13
- 14 Commander Tahir Sinani, and it's Deputy Commander Sadik Halitjaha.
- They are all part of the Pashtrik operational zone. 15
- JUDGE BARTHE: That would have been my next question. So there 16
- was no difference between the time Mr. -- or Commander Drini was 17
- commander of the Pashtrik operational zone and Tahir Sinani was 18
- commander and Mr. Sadik Halitjaha was deputy commander? There was no 19
- difference in that regard? 20
- I didn't quite understand the purpose of this question. There 21
- was no difference. The difference was that Drini was another 22
- commander and Tahir Sinani was another commander. When Drini was 23
- commander, Sadik Halitjaha was his deputy. When Mr. Tahiri came, the 24
- number of clashes and battles increased, so I don't know what 25

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- specifically you want me to say. If you could please specify, I will 1
- do that. 2
- JUDGE BARTHE: No, that's okay. You answered my question.
- said there was no difference; is that right?
- And when Commander Drini was commander of the Pashtrik
- operational zone, and later Mr. Sinani was commander, you said 6
- 7 yesterday you reported to them, and it was up to the commander, you
- said -- and I take it from your statement now that you were referring 8
- and you're referring to both Mr. Rexha, Commander Drini, and 9
- Tahir Sinani. It was up to the commander to inform and to report to 10
- the General Staff; is that right? Is that what you said yesterday? 11
- Yes, sir. If he deemed it necessary and reasonable to report my 12
- proposals and notifications to the command, it was his duty to inform 13
- 14 the General Staff.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. 15
- Mr. Qadraku, do you have reason to believe that either 16
- Commander Drini or Tahir Sinani did not send your reports or that 17
- 18 they did not inform the General Staff about what you told them during
- the time you were head of ZKZ in the Pashtrik operational zone? 19
- When I realised that some of my proposals did not reach the 20
- General Staff, I wrote a letter that we mentioned here yesterday and 21
- day before yesterday, when I attempted to indirectly, in another 22
- manner, inform the General Staff of what I wanted to inform. I do 23
- believe that he always reported and informed them, but when I 24
- realised that he could possibly not have informed them, I thought of 25

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)

Questioned by the Trial Panel

this other way to inform the General Staff, Mr. Bislim Zyrapi, that 1

is. 2

10

13

14

18

19

20

21

JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. I was more interested in another

aspect of your testimony. Namely, you told us that you were -- or

your task was to -- as head of the intelligence and the task of the 5

intelligence and counter-intelligence section in the Pashtrik 6

7 operational zone was to gather information about, collect information

about Serbian positions, the strength, the weaponry, and so on. 8

And I would like to know from you have you heard of complaints 9

by the members of the General Staff or by other people that they did

not receive -- the General Staff did not receive important 11

information you had gathered, your department or your intelligence 12

service had gathered about the positions of Serbs, Serb forces, or

their strength, that they did not receive anything from your

operational zone? Have you heard of such complaints? 15

I never had a complaint from any of the commanders, be it 16

Commander Drini or Tahir Sinani, or information that a complaint had 17

arrived from General Staff about my reporting or lack of it on the

positions and movements of the enemy forces. There was no complaint

addressed against me. And I think that I was also always consistent

in this respect and provided them with all the information they

needed. 22

JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. I think this point is clear now. 23

I would like to move on to more questions I have, and now I have 24

questions about Preparation Note 2 and what was recorded in that 25

Witness Walil Ordushu (Decumed) (Once Cossies)

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- note. It's also about what you, according to the Prosecution, told
- them during your preparation session last week.
- And my first set of questions is about paragraph 16 of
- 4 Preparation Note 2, where you said that in May or June 1998, possibly
- with a group including Zyrapi on 30 May 1998, on the way back from
- 6 Albania, Hashim Thaci, whom you did not know at the time, was
- 7 carrying two snipers on his back.
- I assume you're referring to two sniper weapons; is that right?
- 9 A. This is how I remember, that he was carrying on his back two old
- 10 Russian-made sniper rifles. I think this person was Hashim Thaci.
- 11 Later on I knew who he was. During that trip, I did not know who he
- was. Then later on, I thought about this and remembered.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you, Mr. Qadraku. We'll come to that. But
- to continue, you also said that Mr. Thaci twisted his foot, and you
- took the sniper weapons and helped Mr. Thaci, or the person you
- believe was Mr. Thaci, walk. And it's also said here that you told
- the Prosecution that you did not know about Mr. Thaci being given a
- 18 mandate to set up the war structures.
- Do you remember saying this to the Prosecution last week?
- 20 A. Yes, sir. I said that I did not know at the time, and I do not
- know to this day, that he had the task to form or create the KLA.
- JUDGE BARTHE: And do you know now, 25 years later, what
- position Mr. Thaci had in May and June 1998, if he had any?
- A. No, sir, I don't know even today for that time. I know that at
- a later stage he was part of the KLA diplomatic leadership, but I do

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- not know at the time what position he had or if their positions had
- 2 been assigned.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Do you know now whether Mr. Thaci was a member of
- 4 the General Staff, the KLA General Staff, or the Central Staff as it
- was called, as we have heard here, before it was called or renamed
- 6 General Staff? Do you know whether he was a member of the KLA
- 7 General or Central Staff?
- 8 A. I don't know what to say. All our comrades who were mentioned
- 9 after the war, we perceive all of them as members of the
- General Staff, be that Azem Syla, Xhavit Haliti, Hashim Thaci,
- Rexhep Selimi, Jakup Krasniqi, Kadri Veseli. I am not able to say
- today exactly how many members had the General Staff of the KLA or
- the Central Staff as it was called up to a certain moment in time. I
- would not know exactly how it was.
- My perception as a fighter is that I accept all these names as
- members of the General Staff regardless of their specific tasks
- 17 within the staff -- the General Staff.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. Mr. Qadraku, do you know or do you
- 19 have any knowledge whether Mr. Thaci and/or Mr. Veseli had been
- working on the organisation of the KLA by, among other things,
- liaising between General Staff or Central Staff members in Kosovo and
- 22 elsewhere already before the war? Do you have any knowledge about
- 23 that?
- 24 A. I do not have any personal knowledge about that.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. I would like to move on to page 6 of

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- 1 Preparation Note 2, again paragraph 16, where you said, and I think
- you repeated that yesterday during the examination by the parties,
- that there was -- and this is what is recorded in Preparation Note 2
- 4 as your words, there was an autonomy in terms of organising, and you
- 5 think that this is how it was in all the regions since you could not
- oblige anyone to set up the KLA. And considering what happened in
- your area, you believe that in every municipality there was a person
- 8 who started the work on their own and, in time, they would organise
- 9 people.
- Just to be clear, Mr. Qadraku, what your evidence is in this
- regard. Does this mean that in your view the General Staff was not
- involved in organising the structure of the KLA, and you are talking
- here about the time May, June 1998, in May, June 1998 or before that
- 14 at all?
- 15 A. I think that the General Staff could not have organised all the
- organisations and villages on the ground, but they always had a man,
- 17 a person. I can take my own example. And that from that example, we
- can perhaps understand that this was the case also in other
- 19 locations.
- JUDGE BARTHE: And in paragraph 17 of Preparation Note 2,
- correct me if I'm wrong, you confirmed that the General Staff, or, as
- it was called, Central Staff, already existed before the war; is that
- 23 right?
- A. As a person living abroad in Switzerland, I read about the
- 25 Central Staff in newspapers. Perhaps it did exist before the war,

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- but I did not have any knowledge as to who they were. But we did 1
- read material in our news organisations. We had Zeri i Kosoves in 2
- Switzerland. So it is possible I might have received this knowledge 3
- from newspapers and other press and not as a personal, direct
- knowledge. 5
- JUDGE BARTHE: I understand. You also confirmed or said that 6
- the name "KLA" was given on 16 November 1994. I'm also or again 7
- referring to paragraph 17 of Preparation Note 2. Is that right? Did 8
- you tell this to the Prosecution? 9
- Yes, sir, I've said this. Maybe I was wrong on the date. This 10
- is what we knew. This is what our friends told us. This is what we 11
- heard. We were told that on 17 November 1994, a proposal was made by 12
- the comrades to give a name to the Kosovo Liberation Army. It was 13
- 14 proposed that that armed group or armed groups or the unification of
- those armed groups would come together under the name of Kosovo 15
- Liberation Army. So it is correct. 16
- JUDGE BARTHE: And who decided that? Who decided that the group 17
- or organisation, as you said, should receive the name "Kosovo 18
- Liberation Army"? Do you know that? 19
- Again, I do not have personal direct knowledge. My knowledge 20
- comes from material I read at a later stage after they became active 21
- as such. I do not have any direct knowledge from the group of 22
- friends who were involved in this. 23
- JUDGE BARTHE: But you said your knowledge -- or you received 24
- your knowledge, you got your knowledge from what you read at a later 25

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- stage. Did you read anything about who decided that the group was
- called or should receive the name "KLA" then?
- 3 A. From what I read, I think it might have been the chairmanship of
- 4 the LPK or the group in charge of special actions, who was also part
- of the chairmanship of the LPK. Now, who specifically made the
- 6 proposal, I wouldn't know by name, but I do know that it came from
- 7 them.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. My next questions are about paragraph
- 9 21 of Preparation Note 2, where you talked about a person named Sabit
- 10 Geci. And you said you saw Mr. Geci, or Geci, in Albania in summer
- 1998 when you went to visit a hospital with a doctor you knew from
- Switzerland who was with the KLA and was responsible to assist the
- wounded. And you also told that after the war you met Mr. Geci when
- they -- the two of you were detained at the same time. Is that what
- you told the Prosecution during your preparation session?
- 16 A. Yes, that's correct.
- JUDGE BARTHE: I would like to know from you now what was
- Mr. Geci's role in the KLA, if he had any?
- 19 A. No, sir, I'm not able to say what his role was. I only met him
- once in passing during a visit I was paying to my wounded comrades in
- the hospital in Tirana. I do not know what was the task of
- 22 Sabit Geci in the KLA.
- JUDGE BARTHE: I'm asking because, Mr. Qadraku, you were
- detained, as you said, with him, together with him at the same time,
- and I thought maybe the two of you had talked to each other about the

Page 22818

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

time, what you did during the war. So my question is you did not 1

- talk to Mr. Geci about -- at any time after the war about what he did 2
- or what you did during the war?
- Your Honour, when I was arrested in 2001, I was not involved in
- the same case as Sabit Geci, but he was arrested also in Prishtine in 5
- a different case. I can say that in the prisons where we were kept 6
- 7 and suffered, it was strictly forbidden to communicate amongst us;
- meaning, KLA soldiers, amongst them. The UNMIK police had isolated 8
- us from one another. There were very strict and rigid rules 9
- preventing any contact amongst us. 10
- I was only able to see Sabit Geci from the window when he went 11
- for a walk or he could see me when I went out for a walk. But we did 12
- not have the opportunity or possibility to spend time together or to 13
- 14 talk to one another.
- JUDGE BARTHE: So you don't know, if I understand you correctly, 15
- you don't know whether Mr. Geci was or had been dealing with, like 16
- you, intelligence or counter-intelligence during the war? You've no 17
- information about that? 18
- I know that I saw Sabit Geci in Albania. I never saw Sabit Geci 19
- in Kosovo during the war. And I don't know if he ever was in Kosovo, 20
- but I never saw him. 21
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. Before we take a break, one or two 22
- brief questions about the next paragraph, paragraph 22, where you 23
- said or you confirmed that it is correct that while you were the 24
- 25 chief of the G2, you collected information inside, it's said here, of

KSC-BC-2020-06 27 November 2024

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- UCK to find out where was the enemy, their positions, and what kind 1
- of weapon they had. 2
- I'm interested in knowing what you meant by "collected 3
- information inside of UCK." What did you mean by that, if you had
- said so to the Prosecution? 5
- Yes, Your Honour. Our territory where we were was bordered by 6
- the main roads which were under Serbian forces control. All of our 7
- soldiers of the KLA who were the first guards close to those main 8
- roads, who were also observers of the Serbian forces movements in one 9
- or the other direction, these guards had the obligation to report to 10
- their command or to us in order for us to be able to have updated 11
- 12 information at any given time about Serbian forces movements and
- numbers. 13
- 14 And, therefore, I said that within the KLA we collected
- information about the Serbian forces, and this information came from 15
- the KLA soldiers themselves who were on the first dividing lines. 16
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. I think it's a good time to take a 17
- break. 18
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: All right. Witness, we'll take a 19
- half-hour break at this time. You'll come back to the courtroom at 20
- 11.40 -- no, it is 11.40, I'm sorry. At 12.10. And we will continue 21
- at that time. 22
- [Trial Panel and Court Officer confers] 23
- [The witness stands down] 24
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: So the break will be until 11.50. We're 25

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- adjourned until then.
- 2 --- Recess taken at 11.21 a.m.
- --- On resuming at 11.51 a.m.
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: The video that we admitted this morning
- 5 that was an MFI prior to today should be reclassified as public.
- THE COURT OFFICER: Thank you, Your Honours.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: And you can bring the witness in.
- 8 [The witness takes the stand]
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: All right. Witness, we will continue
- 10 with Judge Barthe's questions now.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. And welcome back, Mr. Qadraku,
- 12 Mr. Koci.
- I'd like to move on to paragraph 25 of Preparation Note 2,
- according to which you said the following to the Prosecution in your
- preparation session, I quote:
- "KLA members near borders with enemy forces had checkpoints
- where the identities of anyone coming in or leaving would be
- verified. Of course, in those checkpoints there were names of people
- that KLA members would not have liked to enter their territory
- because, in one way or another, they had lost trust in such people
- 21 who would harm KLA activities by seeing how many KLA members there
- 22 were and similar information."
- Mr. Qadraku, I would like to know from you, are you talking
- about people who collaborated with the enemy by providing information
- about the KLA to the enemy forces here?

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- I was referring here to the persons who were still working with 1
- the Serbian police or were in some way connected to the regime at the 2
- time. It could be a prosecutor, a judge, an investigator, a prison 3
- ward, a policeman, a member of the administration of that apparatus,
- occupying apparatus. Therefore, we were protecting our territory and 5
- ourselves from them. 6
- 7 JUDGE BARTHE: And just to be clear on that point, it didn't
- matter whether these people were Serbian, or Serbs, or Albanian; is 8
- that right? 9
- That's right. It didn't matter. The important factor was that 10
- they were part of that occupying apparatus. 11
- 12 JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. And in the same paragraph, it is
- recorded that you told the Prosecution that "KLA members knew the 13
- 14 names of many who had acted and worked against their interests." And
- that 70 per cent of those mistreating or torturing prisoners during 15
- the 1970s and 1980s were Albanian, and "that is why KLA members were 16
- also afraid of other Albanians. 17
- Is that correct? Did you tell the Prosecution this? 18
- Yes, Your Honour. All these words, I said them to the 19
- Prosecutor, and I still think that 70 per cent of that apparatus, 20
- occupying apparatus from 1945 to 1999 were Albanians, unfortunately. 21
- We knew those names because they had beaten us up, they had 22
- imprisoned us, they had maltreated us. Therefore, we did not want 23
- them to cause further harm to us during the war or at the liberation 24
- 25 time.

Page 22822

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)

Questioned by the Trial Panel

JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. And who within the KLA decided 1

- whether a person was not allowed to enter the territory because he or 2
- she would harm the KLA or the KLA's activities? Who would decide
- that?
- First, based on the information we would receive from a friend 5
- or somebody else, I would receive that information, I would discuss 6
- it with the command. And then, following my proposal, the zone 7
- commander would make a decision and would convey that to the brigade 8
- commander who would then pass it on to the checkpoint or the simple 9
- soldier. So this was a general decision. We were all trying to 10
- protect our territory, to protect the KLA, to protect the civilians. 11
- 12 It was not just one person.
- JUDGE BARTHE: So this is what actually happened in reality, is 13
- 14 that right, the way you just described the procedure?
- I didn't know any better method to protect myself, to protect 15
- the KLA, and to protect our territory. I thought this was the 16
- appropriate way, and I thought this was how we could protect 17
- 18 ourselves.
- JUDGE BARTHE: And, Mr. Qadraku, you said in paragraph 27 of 19
- Preparation Note 2, this is the last sentence, that, I quote: 20
- "Some countries have admitted to collateral damage, but no 21
- collateral damage has been considered in relation to the KLA since 22
- everything is considered a crime." 23
- Do you recall saying that to the Prosecution, and could I ask 24
- 25 you to explain what you meant by that sentence?

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- 1 A. What I meant with this sentence is that in those war
- circumstances, at the line of fire, killings being committed, we had
- many victims children, elderly, women. It was possible that any
- 4 human being, because we are human beings, it was possible for a human
- 5 being to be excessive, to alienate themselves after witnessing
- 6 crimes. Therefore, in a way or another, any person could give a bad
- look to someone or shout at another person in a more harsh way,
- 8 sending that person back or removing that person from the area. This
- 9 is what I qualified as collateral damage, that a person -- referring
- 10 to actions that a person could take under pressure or in hot -- in a
- 11 tense situation.
- In my writings, my proposals submitted to the Pashtrik
- operational zone command were done in this emotional state. Perhaps
- in the current circumstances and conditions, I wouldn't have acted --
- I wouldn't act the same way. But at the time, we were in the
- conditions that we were -- we were conditioned by all the killings
- and massacres and the trouble that we were going through.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Mr. Qadraku, assuming that a person was wrongly
- 19 suspected or identified as a collaborator, and that person was
- detained by KLA members, mistreated, and maybe even killed, would
- that also be a collateral damage for you?
- 22 A. No. No, sir.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. Can you tell us why this person would
- not fall under the definition of collateral damage, as you just said?
- 25 A. You said it yourself. If that person was detained, maltreated,

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- that means that there was time and room to think. What I said 1
- before, acting in the heat of the moment, refers to some action taken 2
- instantaneously, which can be wrong or a mistake. 3
- But in the event there were investigations, detentions, and
- maltreatment, and then that person was killed, this is not something 5
- done in the heat of the moment. The intent is there. I'm quoting 6
- 7 your words and making an assumption.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you for this clarification. Mr. Qadraku, 8
- I'd like to move on to a different topic, and I would like to deal 9
- with what you said, according to the preparation note, to the 10
- Prosecution in paragraph 36. 11
- In that paragraph, you talked about several people, and you 12
- mention also -- you also mentioned Mr. Thaci and Mr. Kadri Veseli, 13
- 14 and you said that they were "a part," together with other people, "of
- the diplomatic structures of the KLA that mainly dealt with meetings 15
- and international activities within the diplomatic framework rather 16
- than issues related to the war on the ground in Kosovo." 17
- And again my question, as always, do you remember saying this to 18
- the Prosecution? 19
- Yes, Your Honour. I remember. 20
- JUDGE BARTHE: I would like to ask you how do you know that 21
- Mr. Thaci and Mr. Veseli were part of diplomatic structures of the 22
- KLA. You just said a couple of minutes ago or at the beginning of 23
- the questioning, my questioning, that you didn't know Mr. Thaci and 24
- Mr. Veseli from your time in Switzerland, you didn't know what 25

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- 1 positions they had in May, June 1998, and you were still in the dark
- in relation to what they did or where they were -- or you didn't say
- where they were, but now you just confirmed that you knew that they
- 4 were part of diplomatic structures and they were participating in
- 5 meetings and international activities. So what's the basis for or of
- 6 this statement?
- 7 A. If this question was asked of me 1998 and 1999, I wouldn't
- 8 probably know, but I was asked this question in 2019 and 2024. As I
- 9 said before, my knowledge now is based on what we saw during the war,
- what we saw after the war, and what we read. Therefore, I base my
- statement on the fact that all those who were responsible to speak
- for the General Staff, they said this.
- Also I would like to add one more thing. For the last 25 years,
- the Kosovo press is overloaded with all sorts of writings, positive
- and negative. In no newspaper, including those of our opponents, I
- 16 have never read the name of Hashim Thaci referred to as
- "General Hashim Thaci," or "General Kadri Veseli." This demonstrates
- that they did not have military operational activity during the war
- in 1998, 1999. Their tasks were rather those to contact and interact
- 20 with international structures.
- 21 Again, I'm saying all this based on what I've seen, what I've
- heard, but not based on my contacts with the General Staff or
- anything else. These are things that I have heard or read.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you.
- Mr. Qadraku, in the following paragraph, paragraph 37, you

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)

Page 22826

Questioned by the Trial Panel

apparently said that, during the war, Mr. Thaci and Mr. Veseli and 1 other KLA General Staff members travelled back and forth to Albania 2 more than 40 times and every journey would take one or two weeks. 3

Again, I have to ask you how do you know this? Did you read this somewhere in a newspaper, or did you hear this from someone? What's the basis of this statement of your belief that they travelled back and forth more than 40 times, and they were not in the country,

and that each of the journey -- or every journey would take one or 8

two weeks? 9

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I told the Prosecutor and to you, Your Honour, that I was in contact with my comrades from Brigade 126 from Has who were more involved in helping with the border crossing. We're talking about the quantity first, so the number. I said over 40 times. Perhaps that's knowledge that comes from them who would have told me, "I escorted him on this occasion, on that occasion," so I have this from our soldiers.

With respect to the duration of these, meaning that it took weeks, I think last year I said in a public televised programme that it is true that perhaps the border crossing itself can take one or two days. But to get close to the border and to move further beyond the border takes more time. If you're going to Brussels or Vienna or London, it take time. So this was my assumption when I said that the trip could take one, two weeks, up until the meeting with the internationals. I was not referring to any specific trip for which I had no knowledge. I spoke in general terms assessing the time needed

KSC-BC-2020-06 27 November 2024

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- for someone to travel from Kosovo to Europe or from Europe to Kosovo. 1
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you, Mr. Qadraku. If you multiply 40 trips 2
- by one and a half weeks, you get a total of 60 weeks. That's more 3
- than a year. This is why I was asking. So you're not saying that
- Mr. Thaci and Mr. Veseli were not in Kosovo for more than a year? Or 5
- are you saying this? I'm asking you. 6
- 7 Your Honour, now you're doing the math. I did not do that. Ι
- did not think that every single one of these trips lasted one or two 8
- weeks. Perhaps some lasted only one or two days. You're taking a 9
- different approach to this. 10
- I know that all of these trips which I cannot -- I do not have 11
- 12 evidence for. Perhaps you do. You would see that it is, indeed,
- very true that they travelled frequently. I also know that I saw a 13
- 14 statement of a Serbian army commander given after the war who said
- that during the war there was not only one Hashim Thaci. He said, "I 15
- am convinced that they are three, because it is not possible for him 16
- to be today in Kosovo, tomorrow in Tirana, and the day after 17
- somewhere else." 18
- So I based my statement in all this knowledge, not on something 19
- specific or mathematically true. 20
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. I think you made that clear now. 21
- I would like to move on to paragraph 40 of Preparation Note 2, 22
- where you said that every time you were in Nishor, where the zone 23
- command was, the command of the Pashtrik operational zone, you and 24
- others held meetings at 9.00 a.m. regardless, you said, of whether 25

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- you had information to report. And you also said, according to that
- 2 paragraph in Preparation Note 2, that all the chiefs of G sections
- who were available would attend such meetings, and that you would
- 4 state everything you had to report in front of everyone who was part
- of the commanding structure because you believed the commander should
- be informed of everything regardless of whether the reporting was
- 7 positive, negative, or just your opinion.
- 8 Do you recall saying this to the Prosecution?
- 9 A. Yes, Your Honour. I remember.
- JUDGE BARTHE: So is it fair to say that it was important to you
- that your commander, Commander Drini, and later Commander Sinani, and
- other members of the zone command were always informed about your
- activities, the activities of your section, the ZKZ? Is that fair to
- 14 say?
- 15 A. Yes, Your Honour. I think it's fair.
- JUDGE BARTHE: And this is also in paragraph 40 but on the next
- page, page 14 of Preparation Note 2. You said, in the middle of the
- page, the centre of the page, that you sent letters to the KLA
- 19 General Staff through the zone command almost every day concerning
- 20 what you saw and experienced since you believed the KLA General Staff
- should receive a copy.
- Do you recall saying this to the Prosecution as well?
- 23 A. Yes, Your Honour.
- JUDGE BARTHE: So I would like to ask you again, is it fair to
- say that it was also important to you that the General Staff was also

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- informed about what happened in your area of responsibility or about,
- as you said, what you saw and experienced every day?
- A. Your Honour, I made all the copies consciously. I printed a
- 4 copy for the General Staff. The decision for that was that of the
- 5 commander of the Pashtrik operational zone. He would assess whether
- the General Staff would need to know or to have that copy. He, in
- any event, had my printed copy available. It was his authority to
- 8 act, to order, or to prevent us from undertaking actions in this
- 9 direction or that direction.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Now, Mr. Qadraku, I understood that, but I was
- only asking you whether it was important to you to provide
- information to the General Staff as well about what you saw and
- experienced. So was it important to you or not?
- 14 A. Certainly it was. And I thought that there was a structure. I
- thought that the General Staff had a well-organised structure which
- would receive all of our reports that we were sending from the
- 17 ground, that they would analyse, assess them, and have a clearer
- picture of the movements, including those of the enemy's.
- 19 Therefore, I thought this information coming from me should be
- passed on until there. Had I not thought that, I wouldn't have
- 21 printed a copy for them.
- JUDGE BARTHE: I understand. Mr. Qadraku, in your SPO interview
- from December 2019, and I'm referring to Part 4 of that interview, of
- the transcript pages 18 and 19, you said the following, and I will
- quote from what you said according to the transcript. You said:

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel Page 22830

- "Tahir Sinani, as zone commander, was in charge of defending a 1 part of the territory. So, all these Gs, various G sectors, informed 2 Tahir Sinani of what they had to inform. Every one of them informed 3 about his zone field of operation. And whilst there is an analysis was performed on this, the information you provided, he acted based 5 on joint information and depending on the capacities. Whereas 6 Kadri Veseli and General Staff, he had the same responsibilities, 7 duty to inform Azem Syla just as I had the," and I would like to add 8 here the word "obligation" or "duty," because there's a word missing 9 apparently, "to inform Tahir Sinani. Difference being that ... the 10 obligation of the General Staff was not a particular zone, they... it 11
- Mr. Qadraku, I would like to ask you the following question now:

was not solely a particular zone, but seven operational zones in

- Would you agree that based on your statement it was even more
- important that the General Staff was well informed of what was going
- on in the seven operational zones?
- 18 A. This is how I thought. This is how I thought it should be. The
- 19 same we had in the Pashtrik operational zone, I thought they would --
- should have the same at the General Staff.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. I was only -- to be clear about that,
- I was only asking for your view and you were giving me your view. So
- that's fine. Thank you.
- I would like to move on to a different topic and to another
- 25 paragraph in your preparation -- or in Preparation Note 2, namely,

12

13

Kosovo."

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- 1 paragraph 44. In that paragraph, you talked about the relationship
- between the KLA and FARK, and you also talked about that yesterday
- during the examination -- your examination by the parties.
- And you said in your preparation session, according to paragraph
- 5 44, that back then, and even now, you believe that FARK had been set
- 6 up to sabotage the KLA. And I think, Mr. Qadraku, you confirmed and
- 7 reiterated that yesterday. So can I ask you, is this still your
- opinion, that FARK was set up to sabotage the KLA? Just a "yes" or
- 9 "no".
- 10 A. Yes, Your Honour.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. And you went on by saying in
- paragraph 44, at least according to the note, what was recorded in
- the note, that you were involved in a commission evaluating
- applications for veteran status in 2015. You would not have accepted
- 15 FARK applications because they were not fighters in Kosovo.
- 16 Did you say that to the Prosecution, and is this still what you
- 17 believe?
- 18 A. Yes, Your Honour. I said this and I stand by it. They did not
- deserve to have that. Those who were not in Kosovo, who were not in
- the war, they did not deserve to be recognised the status of war
- 21 veterans.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Just to be clear on that point. Those who were
- not in Kosovo and who were not members of the KLA who were
- 24 participating in diplomatic conferences. Because you're not
- referring to those people, right, as people who do not deserve to get

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)
Questioned by the Trial Panel

- a war veteran status? You are only talking about FARK here; right?
- 2 A. Yes, Your Honour. I'm referring only to the FARK members,
- because they stayed in their locations in Tirana, full of themselves,
- watching on TV how people, soldiers, children were killed, and they
- stood there idle, doing nothing. And to this day, I think that they
- do not deserve to be recognised as war veterans because they did
- 7 not -- they did not participate in the war.
- 3 JUDGE BARTHE: Have you discussed this with other former members
- 9 of the KLA either during the war or after the war?
- 10 A. On many occasions. I have discussed this with friends and
- others. This has consistently been my position, and I have never
- 12 changed it.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Is this just your position, your belief, or is it
- a common belief among other members, your friends, other former
- members of the KLA, that people who were members of the FARK and who
- did not fight in Kosovo, who were apparently -- who apparently stayed
- in Albania or elsewhere, did not deserve to get war veteran status?
- 18 A. First of all, this is my position. But I know, based on the
- conversations that I had with friends, that many people share my
- opinion although they don't express it. Some are cautious, some do
- 21 not wish to speak up, but there are many who hold the same views as I
- do in Kosovo.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. It is, Mr. Qadraku, also recorded in
- paragraph 44 of Preparation Note 2, that you mentioned the LDK's
- permanent request to come to an agreement with the Milosevic and

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- Milutinovic -- with Milosevic and Milutinovic to give Kosovo 1
- autonomy, which would not fulfil your and others' aspirations and 2
- aims for Kosovo and was much less favourable for Kosovo than the 23 3
- March 1989 agreement.
- Do you remember saying this to the Prosecution; and if so, can
- you explain what you meant by that? 6
- Yes, Your Honour, I remember. What I meant by these words is 7
- Serbia, as occupying force in Kosovo, on 23 March 1989 suppressed the 8
- autonomy. The autonomy at that time was within the federation. 9
- years after that, our historical leader signs an agreement with 10
- Milutinovic and then with Milosevic for another autonomy, which was 11
- not based on the federation anymore because Yugoslavia dissolved, but 12
- it was based on Serbia being above it. 13
- 14 For me, this autonomy was probably 50 times smaller than the one
- that was suppressed earlier. Therefore, I thought at the time, and I 15
- still think, and I will think the same to my last day, that that 16
- agreement was very malicious and ill-intentioned. It was humiliating 17
- for our people, for the victims, we had suffered up until that 18
- The agreement was signed on 1 May 1999. We had already had 19
- over 13.000 victims, over 20.000 raped women. Perhaps at the time I 20
- had this opinion in the emotional state I was, but today I think the 21
- same, even 30 times stronger. This is my personal opinion. 22
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. So, Mr. Qadraku, you were fighting -23
- "you," I mean the KLA and your comrades you were fighting for full 24
- autonomy, for full independence; is that right? 25

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)
Questioned by the Trial Panel

- 1 A. Our oath as Kosovo Liberation Army soldiers was for the
- liberation of Kosovo, the liberation of Kosovo as an independent
- 3 sovereign state, which we declared in 2008 thanks to our political
- 4 leadership.
- JUDGE BARTHE: So just to be clear about that, does that mean
- from your point of view that by requesting to come to agreements with
- 7 Milosevic and Milutinovic, the Serbian government, does that mean
- 8 that this case, and you are referring here to the LDK, that the LDK,
- or at least representatives of the KLA, were undermining your
- struggle for the liberation of Kosovo, the KLA's struggle for the
- 11 liberation of Kosovo?
- 12 A. No, sir. This is wrong. I do not think that the LDK is
- involved in this. The LDK never held a rally, a party rally to
- decide or authorise their historical leader to enter into this
- agreement with Milosevic or Milutinovic. His agreement with
- 16 Milosevic was done -- I think, because I have not read prior
- 17 consultation he would have had with other people, and I don't blame
- the LDK here, because I remember when he entered into this agreement,
- 19 212 LDK intellectuals declared from Skopje that from that day onwards
- they would not recognise the signature of Rugova, whatever he would
- sign in Belgrade. Therefore, this agreement between Milosevic and
- Rugova was not a product of the LDK. This was his personal product.
- JUDGE BARTHE: With "his personal product," you mean -- you're
- referring to Mr. Rugova? Did I understand that correctly?
- 25 A. Yes, I'm referring to Rugova.

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

Page 22835

JUDGE BARTHE: What about the government-in-exile, the Bukoshi 1

- government? Is it your view that they are or they were working 2
- against the interests of the KLA, against the interests of the 3
- population of Kosovo at the time; and if so, do you still believe
- that? 5
- My conviction, Your Honour, for the government at the time, the Α. 6
- Kosovo government at the time, is that they did not act. I blame 7
- them for not acting, failure to act. Their failure to act made them 8
- evil spectators to all the bad things and horrible things that 9
- happened in Kosovo. Their failure to act or non-action was a bad 10
- thing in itself. 11
- JUDGE BARTHE: What would you have expected them to do? 12
- At that time as a young man, 34 years old that I was at the 13
- 14 time, I thought that, as a government since 1992 until 1998, they
- should have contacted, worked, cooperated, acted, in order to supply 15
- us the necessary means to fight the occupier. They had formed --16
- they claim to have been formed for the Republic of Kosovo. They 17
- claimed to work for the Republic of Kosovo, but they never called 18
- Serbia as an occupying force. I never read from 1989 that they 19
- qualified Serbia an occupying force. So if you don't make that 20
- qualification, I don't think you can fight against that force. 21
- Therefore, I think that with their failures they damaged us as a 22
- people, as a young man, as an army, and as a country. 23
- JUDGE BARTHE: Would you have expected them, I mean the 24
- government-in-exile, to send troops or money or weapons to Kosovo to 25

Page 22836

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

ROSOVO SPECIALIST CHAMBELS - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- 1 fight against the enemy?
- 2 A. I never expected them to give us money. We did not need money.
- We only needed weapons, ammunition. That's all. We wouldn't have
- 4 been even able to spend the money.
- 5 JUDGE BARTHE: What about soldiers?
- 6 A. The soldiers were fed by the people. We did not need money from
- 7 the government. Every village, every location, every municipality
- 8 took care of us. The people took care of us for everything we needed
- 9 during the war. They didn't.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Now, in paragraph 45 of Preparation Note 2, you
- talked about Mr. Tahir Zemaj who had been briefly commander of the
- Dukagjini operational zone, and you said that Mr. Zemaj managed to
- become zone commander with Haradinaj -- I assume that you're
- referring to Ramush Haradinaj here; correct? Is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes, Your Honour. I was referring to Commander Ramush
- 16 Haradinaj.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Yes. And Commander Ramush Haradinaj as deputy,
- 18 you said here. The KLA General Staff did not allow Zemaj as
- 19 commander.
- I have to ask you this again: Is this correct, did you tell
- this? Did you tell the Prosecution this?
- 22 A. Yes, Your Honour. This is correct. And this is information
- that I read after the war. I did not know this during the war. But
- I did say that, and I think that was the case.
- JUDGE BARTHE: And you said the KLA General Staff did not allow

KSC-BC-2020-06 27 November 2024

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)
Questioned by the Trial Panel

- 1 Mr. Zemaj as commander. Do you know what, if at all, the
- 2 General Staff did in that regard? Did you read anything about that
- or have you heard anything about that?
- 4 A. From what I read and from what I know, the General Staff reacted
- because he had brought into Loxhe, where he was, an army and wanted
- the commander to be elected by a vote, and this is how he achieved
- 7 the goal to become a commander and Ramush his deputy. And I think it
- was based on this, the reaction of the General Staff, so that the
- 9 leading structures of the command be not elected in this way.
- This is what I read after the war, but it can also not be true.
- 11 This is what I read. That's it.
- JUDGE BARTHE: And what exactly did the General Staff do, or
- what was the reaction of the General Staff?
- 14 A. I do not know the exact reaction. I know that they went there,
- they agreed, and they returned, and I think that changed. Ramush
- 16 Haradinaj became again commander and Tahir Zemaj his deputy. But how
- 17 this was decided, I don't know. I wasn't there.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Just to be clear on that point. You said "they
- agreed." Are you saying that Mr. Haradinaj, Mr. Zemaj, and whoever
- went there from the General Staff agreed that Mr. Zemaj was replaced
- by Mr. Haradinaj, that Mr. Haradinaj was reinstated as commander of
- the Dukagjini operational zone?
- 23 A. Yes, that is what happened. Ramush Haradinaj remained commander
- 24 afterwards up until the end of the war.
- JUDGE BARTHE: So from what you know and I accept that you

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- said that you only read about it is that there was an agreement
- between at least three parties Mr. Haradinaj, Mr. Zemaj, and the
- 3 General Staff. Is that what you read or heard?
- 4 A. Yes, I believe it was like that.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. Now to a different topic, and this
- should be brief. In paragraph 51 of Preparation Note 2, you
- mentioned, in the context of Ismet Tara, the KLA general inspector.
- 8 I would like to know from you if you know who the general inspector
- of the KLA was in 1998, 1999, if there was such a position at all?
- 10 A. I remember that I heard that the general inspector was
- Mr. Rexhep Selimi. I never had contacts in this respect. I don't
- know whether that was a post or if that is something that we called
- it so, but I think that the general inspector was Rexhep Selimi.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Do you know when Mr. Selimi became general
- inspector? Do you have any information about that?
- 16 A. No, I don't have information about that. I don't have any
- information concerning any members about dates of posts and so forth.
- 18 We were not informed when there were rotations. When people were
- changed, we were not notified in our zones, in our units, in 1998,
- 20 about changes. We didn't have anything documented as to the dates of
- 21 appointments of each and every member of the General Staff.
- JUDGE BARTHE: So you also don't know how Mr. Selimi became a
- general inspector, if this is what happened, because you said you
- don't have valid information -- first-hand information about that;
- 25 right?

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- 1 A. Yes, Your Honour, I don't have first-hand information about
- that, and we were never informed about his appointment. "We" meaning
- 3 us as Pashtrik operational zone.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you. Now to a different issue. I would
- like to talk to you briefly about or discuss with you briefly what
- 6 happened, as far as you know, in Prizren. And I'm referring to
- 7 paragraphs 57 and the following of Preparation Note 2 where you
- 8 discuss this. And you also mentioned yesterday, Mr. Qadraku, that
- 9 you know that the zone command, the Pashtrik operational zone staff
- was based in the girls dormitory in Prizren. And what is recorded in
- this note and this paragraph of the preparation note is that you also
- had an office there, but it's said that you always slept in Rahovec;
- is that right?
- 14 A. Yes, Your Honour. That's correct.
- JUDGE BARTHE: And you further said during your preparation
- session, according to paragraph 57 of Preparation Note 2, that you
- did not visit the school for deaf and mute in Prizren in June, July
- 18 1999. If I'm not mistaken, you also mentioned that or you confirmed
- 19 that on Monday during your direct examination by the Prosecution.
- But you believe that Brigade 125 was based in the school for deaf and
- mute while Brigade 128 was based in Zym of Dragash or in Nashec, both
- of which were near or close to Prizren; is that right? Did you tell
- this -- did you tell the Prosecution this?
- 24 A. Yes, Your Honour. I apologise for pausing. But with the
- suggestion of Your Honour, I'm counting the five seconds after the

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)
Questioned by the Trial Panel

- interpreter finishes with the interpretation.
- JUDGE BARTHE: That's not a problem. Maybe I should count the
- 3 seconds as well. I'm not sure whether I did that in the past.
- But, anyway, what I wanted to ask you -- first I wanted to give
- the reference to the transcript. This is on pages 22630 and 22631 of
- 6 our transcript.
- 7 Mr. Qadraku, do you know or do you have any knowledge of what
- 8 happened at or in the former MUP building in Prizren between the 16th
- 9 and 18th June 1999?
- 10 A. No, Your Honour. I do not know what happened there. I do not
- know what happened in Prizren either because I was in Rahovec and its
- surroundings up until 1 July 1999.
- JUDGE BARTHE: So you were never personally there. You were
- still in Rahovec, right, at the time, before 2 July -- or 1 July
- 15 1999?
- 16 A. Yes Your Honour. That's correct.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Did you hear anything about an encounter with
- German KFOR at the former MUP building after the withdrawal of the
- 19 Serbian forces? Have you heard anything about that?
- 20 A. I don't know what encounter specifically you're interested in,
- but we've seen on TV the killing by the German KFOR of a Serb or two
- in a vehicle. But I really do not understand to which encounter you
- 23 are referring. Maybe you can explain this.
- JUDGE BARTHE: I will. To be more specific, do you know whether
- people were held and mistreated in the former MUP building after the

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)
Questioned by the Trial Panel

- 1 withdrawal of the Serbian forces in mid-June 1999?
- 2 A. No, sir. I don't know.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Have you heard anything about an elderly man who
- was found dead, tied to a chair, in the upper or on the upper floor
- of the building?
- 6 A. No, sir.
- JUDGE BARTHE: Do you know of other crimes that were allegedly
- 8 committed by members of the KLA in the days after the takeover of
- 9 Prizren in summer 1999?
- 10 A. No, sir. I don't remember.
- JUDGE BARTHE: But you were still the head of ZKZ and G2 -- or
- G2 in the Pashtrik operational zone, and I assume that Prizren
- belonged to your operational zone; is that right?
- 14 A. Yes, Your Honour. Of course, with all the appointments, I was
- responsible or in charge of the entire Pashtrik zone, but I had my
- subordinates. In all my documents, no information of this type was
- 17 received from the relevant brigades, 124, 125, or 128.
- JUDGE BARTHE: And you didn't hear anything about that, didn't
- see anything on TV, on television, or elsewhere? You were not
- informed by other sources, so to speak?
- 21 A. Your Honour, when I went to Prizren, I proposed to
- 22 Commander Tahir Sinani to have more frequent meetings with KFOR and
- UNMIK. We had three weekly meetings with the UNMIK police, and one
- time a week we met with the multinational brigade. And in those
- meetings, we did bring this request at both UNMIK and KFOR in this

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- 1 respect. All these events were noted in my documents. But when I
- was arrested, all these documents were destroyed or taken from me.
- 3 So today I do not know what to say or to think about these
- 4 things, which are very delicate issues, and I cannot give myself the
- 5 right to speak about them. I did have facts, I did have papers, I
- did have complaints in this respect, and I did help both UNMIK and
- 7 KFOR in uncovering these cases, but these documents were taken from
- 8 me by the UNMIK police.
- 9 So as I said on the first day of my testimony, I feel
- handicapped before you to speak. If I had those documents before me
- today, we could have documented in a much clearer manner everything,
- including this after-war, post-war period in Prizren.
- JUDGE BARTHE: That's all right. I understand.
- Now, Mr. Qadraku, my final question. You said yesterday during
- your cross-examination by the Veseli Defence that Kadri Veseli became
- head of SHIK in April 1999, and that SHIK was dealing with civilian
- intelligence whereas ZKZ, or G2, at the General Staff dealt with
- military intelligence. Is that right? Do you remember that?
- 19 A. Yes, Your Honour. This was on the media as well. With the
- formation of the Provisional Government of Kosovo in April 1999, it
- was a good news that we had a provisional government, we had
- 22 ministers, and amongst them as one minister was Kadri Veseli, that
- is, as the director of the intelligence service.
- JUDGE BARTHE: I would like to know from you now, since you were
- also dealing with intelligence and counter-intelligence, could you

Page 22843

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

explain to us what the tasks were of the civilian intelligence as

- opposed to the military intelligence. So what was Mr. -- what were
- the responsibilities of Mr. Veseli at the time and his department?
- 4 A. I wouldn't be able to say anything. I don't know. I know that
- in Kosovo, from the American KFOR, English KFOR, British KFOR, the
- 6 UNMIK police, I know that I've heard that SHIK is legal, that SHIK
- 7 cooperates with all international structures present in Kosovo. I
- 8 know this. I have this knowledge and information.
- 9 But to answer your question what were the specific duties of
- SHIK, I would just have to do guesswork, and I don't think my
- guesswork would be of any use to you.
- JUDGE BARTHE: I would say that depends. Let me ask a follow-up
- question. You said that -- earlier that G2 and also you in your
- position as head of G2 at the zone level, you were dealing with
- military intelligence, or also dealing with military intelligence;
- 16 for example, information about positions of the Serbian forces and
- their strength and weaponry and so on.
- 18 Would you assume that this also fell into the responsibility of
- 19 SHIK, or was that a matter that would still be dealt with by the
- 20 military intelligence, G2?
- 21 A. What you mentioned was dealt by the G2 or the military
- department of the G2. However, for the civilian service, I really
- have knowledge about that because I never was part of it. I thought
- I was part of SHIK, and as I explained, I've written there chief of
- SHIK, chief of G2, chief of ZKZ, chief of department once. So at the

KSC-BC-2020-06 27 November 2024

Page 22844

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

time I thought I was chief of all these acronyms, thinking that they 1

- were one and the same thing. But I don't have any knowledge about 2
- the work of the national intelligence service apart from the work of 3
- the G2 office.
- JUDGE BARTHE: So you were not interested in knowing what the 5
- SHIK was doing since you were also dealing with intelligence and 6
- 7 counter-intelligence?
- I was quite interested, Your Honour, but I knew that there were 8
- trusted people in those structures. There was no need for me to 9
- check on them. They had their duties. I did my best to do my duties 10
- and tasks honourably, although I was not a professional in this 11
- field. This would be all I can say at this moment. 12
- JUDGE BARTHE: So you never asked anybody about what SHIK was 13
- 14 doing, what the responsibilities were of SHIK?
- I didn't ask in the way you are alleging as to "what do you do?" 15
- I asked, "How are you?" "How is it going?" "Do you need any help?" 16
- But not as to what they were doing. That was not ethical in my view. 17
- 18 JUDGE BARTHE: Thank you, Mr. Qadraku. Those were my questions.
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Qadraku. We will break 19
- for lunch now. You may leave the courtroom with the Court Usher. 20
- Please do not speak to anyone about your testimony outside of the 21
- courtroom, and we'll see you back here at 2.30. 22
- [The witness stands down] 23
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Mr. Court Officer, take us into private 24
- 25 session, please.

KSC-BC-2020-06 27 November 2024

Procedural Matters (Private Session) Page 22845

| 1 | [Private | session] | | |
|----|----------|----------|------|----------|
| 2 | [Private | session | text | removed] |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| | | | | |

25

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Private Session)
Questioned by the Trial Panel

Page 22846

1 [Private session text removed]

2

- 3 [Open session]
- THE COURT OFFICER: Your Honours, we're in public session.
- 5 Thank you.
- 6 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Court Officer.
- We are adjourned until 2.30.
- 8 --- Luncheon recess taken at 12.59 p.m.
- 9 --- On resuming at 2.30 p.m.
- 10 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Please bring the witness in,
- 11 Madam Usher.
- [The witness takes the stand]
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Witness, we now have some questions from
- Judge Mettraux, who is seated next to me to my right, your left.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Thank you, Judge Smith.
- And good afternoon, Witness.
- 17 A. Good afternoon, Your Honour.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: I'd like to start with a few questions about
- 19 your time in Switzerland and follow up on some of the questions that
- were asked of you about this period of time.
- Now, just to be certain about the schedule, you said you arrived
- in Switzerland in 1987 and left, as I understand it, on 11 March
- 1998; is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes, Your Honour.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: And you explained already that while you were

KSC-BC-2020-06 27 November 2024

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)
Questioned by the Trial Panel

- in Switzerland you were active in the LDK and -- in the LPK, I'm
- sorry, in the LPK, and that you took part in a number of meetings and
- 3 rallies organised by the LPK; is that right?
- 4 A. That's right.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: The first thing I want to ask you is whether
- these meetings that you had with fellow LPK members in Switzerland,
- 7 were they conducted clandestinely, privately?
- 8 A. No, Your Honour. The meetings in Switzerland were done legally.
- 9 We had no reason to hide in Switzerland.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: I wasn't suggesting anything illegal, sir. I
- was asking this, whether they would be private meetings. Other than
- rallies that were public, were there also discussions and meetings
- that you would be organising in a private setting?
- 14 A. Your Honour, in Lausanne, where I was active, we were 32 members
- of the LPK, never more than that number. I think we would meet every
- 16 two weeks to discuss about the political situation in Kosovo, about
- our possibilities to help from Switzerland, and to communicate about
- the communication or the liberation. We had these meetings. At
- times we had cultural events, protests in front of embassies or the
- United Nations offices in Geneva. We were trying to conduct this
- 21 propaganda and to inform people about this classic form of occupation
- that was taking place in Kosovo.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: The reason -- maybe I'll explain it to you.
- The reason I'm asking you this is whether you had any concerns
- already during your time in Switzerland, as you were participating in

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- 1 LPK activities, of Serbian infiltrations or attempts to infiltrate
- your organisation? Was that concern already present while you were
- 3 in Switzerland?
- 4 A. I think that the LPK took precautions in this regard. We
- 5 applied criteria to know better the person before that person would
- 6 be accepted, to know his background, the family background. I am not
- aware of any specific case as you referred to. However, I think that
- 8 someone above me at a higher level must have also considered such
- 9 cases.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: And other than verifying the family background
- of would-be members, are you aware of any other precaution that was
- taken within the LPK to try to prevent this sort of infiltration?
- 13 A. No, Your Honour. I do not know about other measures.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: I'll come back to that in a moment, sir. But
- can you first tell us how the LPK was organised in Switzerland in the
- years preceding the conflict?
- 17 A. In the last decade of the last century, I can speak more of that
- because I was there. As I said before, we were organising each
- canton. We tried to bring in more people, supporters. We conducted
- 20 consultations and looked for ways to solve the problem in Kosovo. We
- paid a membership fee, symbolic, small amount, and we would also
- cover the membership fees, part of it, for our members inside Kosovo
- who could not afford to pay. This is how it started at the
- 24 beginning.
- 25 At some point later, the fund Homeland Calling was set up in

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- order to support the armed groups in Kosovo because they needed this
- 2 help. I personally did not go beyond Lausanne or the council I was
- in, but I think that my friends from the general council or the
- 4 chairmanship of the LPK had the task to pass on these decisions in
- 5 the regional councils to the place where these decisions were to be
- 6 implemented.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: So just from the geographical point of view,
- and tell me whether that's correct, there was a division of the Swiss
- 9 territory into geographical areas, districts, and within these
- districts there was a council that operated for that region; am I
- 11 right?
- 12 A. Yes, Your Honour.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: And again talking about period of time when you
- were in Switzerland, and I'll come Lausanne in a moment, quite a few
- of the LPK members with whom you interacted went on to become KLA
- members and then joined the war in Kosovo; is that right?
- 17 A. Yes, Your Honour.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Now, can you tell us who do you recall from
- 19 your LPK years in Switzerland who did that, joined the war on the
- 20 side of the KLA?
- 21 A. Yes, Your Honour, I remember. From the council of the district
- of Lausanne, Ismet Jashari, Kumanova, joined the war. He came from
- the Kumanovo region. Haxhi Shala, Topi, from Banje in Malisheve.
- Myself. And in the last two years, 1997, and January, February 1998,
- 25 we had also Fatmir Limaj who had come close to Lausanne. So these

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- are the four persons I am aware of who left Switzerland and went to 1
- Kosovo to fight. 2
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Thank you. And are you able to confirm or 3
- otherwise whether Haxhi Shala was a relative of Fatmir Limaj, to
- start with? 5
- I do not know the family -- about any family relation, but I 6
- 7 know they come from the same village, Banje in Malisheve.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: What about Xhavit Haliti, is it another 8
- Swiss-based LPK who joined the KLA during the war? 9
- Yes, Your Honour. Xhavit Haliti was in the council of the 10
- 11 region of Zurich. He was part of the general council and the
- 12 chairmanship. He was a member of the LPK and took part in the war.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: And so with Emrush Xhemajli whom you know; 13
- 14 correct?
- Yes, Your Honour. He did too. 15
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Would it be fair to suggest that Emrush 16
- Xhemajli is someone who made an important contribution first to the 17
- LPK and then to the KLA? Would you agree with that suggestion? 18
- Yes, Your Honour. I agree. Emrush Xhemajli and his entire 19
- family gave a great contribution to the organisation, activities, and 20
- the struggle for the liberation of Kosovo. 21
- JUDGE METTRAUX: And whether you know it, could you confirm that 22
- he worked for sometime in Zeri i Kosoves in Switzerland? 23
- Your Honour, during my stay in Switzerland, I know that the seat 24
- of Zeri i Kosoves was in Biel/Bienne. I think it's in the Bern 25

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- canton. He -- I think he was one of the persons responsible for the 1
- newspaper with Destan Hajdini and others, many other comrades. He 2
- was one of the persons responsible for the newspaper Zeri i Kosoves, 3
- which was the news organisations of the LPK.
- Until 1991, 1992, because of financial instability,
- unsustainability, I, with my friends I didn't do it personally -6
- 7 but we transferred the newspaper to Lausanne, and it was published
- there until, I think, to my recollection, it continued to be 8
- published there until 1995, 1996. 9
- JUDGE METTRAUX: And while you were in Switzerland, in Lausanne, 10
- just literally down the lake from you was Sadik Halitjaha; is that 11
- right? 12
- Yes, Your Honour. Sadik Halitjaha was based or he resided in 13
- 14 Sion in the canton of Valais.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: And in between Mr. Halitjaha and yourself in 15
- the canton of Vaud was Ramush Haradinaj and Bardhyl Mahmuti; correct? 16
- Bardhyl Mahmuti was living in the canton of Lausanne in a 17
- village, Vevey or Aigle. I did not know Ramush Haradinaj. I didn't 18
- know where he lived or where he worked. 19
- JUDGE METTRAUX: What about Adem Grabovci and Shukri Buja? Did 20
- you know them from your years in Switzerland? 21
- Adem Grabovci, I knew him from the 1990s, when we were in the 22
- process of national blood feud reconciliation. And I knew 23
- Shukri Buja during 1998, during the war, while I was travelling from 24
- Kosovo to Albania. 25

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- JUDGE METTRAUX: Were you aware that both of them were in
- 2 Switzerland and activists in the LPK at the time?
- 3 A. Yes, Your Honour. I know. Adem Grabovci was in the canton of
- 4 St. Gallen. I do not know about Shukri Buja, but I know about his
- 5 brother, Agush Buja, whom I knew better.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: And I think you mentioned it, but I'll ask you
- to confirm, also present at that time in Switzerland and active in
- 8 the LPK were Ali Ahmeti and Fazli Veliu; is that right?
- 9 A. Yes, Your Honour. Ali Ahmeti and Fazli Veliu were in
- 10 Switzerland. I think they were in Luzern canton.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: And maybe Zug for the latter.
- Now, you are recorded in your SPO interview as suggesting that,
- and I quote it's Part 1, page 17, it's now Exhibit P1854, for the
- record as suggesting "all LPK members knew that the KLA was being
- formed," talking about your years in Switzerland. Do you recall
- 16 saying that?
- 17 A. Yes, Your Honour.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: And you and other LPK activists, including
- those in Switzerland, in effect endeavoured to set up a military body
- to fight the war in Kosovo. Would you agree with that proposition?
- 21 A. Obviously, I would have been very happy to. I think we all
- tried to expand the ranks and organise the liberation war wherever we
- lived in Kosovo.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: And you are recorded and that's Preparation
- Note 2, paragraph 7 as suggesting that the LPK set up or created

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)
Questioned by the Trial Panel

- the KLA; is that right?
- 2 A. Your Honour, I might have been wrong. The LPK gave the name
- 3 which I think we discussed earlier with Your Honour. This was on
- 4 17 November 1994. But based on what I have read, the LPK contributed
- towards the unification of all those groups who had been active and
- fighting even before 1994. I think it did a good job, a positive
- 7 thing in this regard. But with respect to forming or creating it,
- 8 they were already formed and created before the KLA. I think the LPK
- 9 played a very important role in the unification and organisation of
- 10 all these groups.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Well, I'll read to you what's recorded, and
- you'll tell me whether you wish to amend in any way. That's
- Preparation Note 2, paragraph 7. You are recorded as saying:
- "[I] might have said [I] was involved in setting up the KLA
- because [I] was an LPK member and the LPK set up or created the KLA
- 16 ..."
- Now, do you accept that proposition? Is that reflecting your
- evidence, or would you wish to qualify it?
- 19 A. No, Your Honour, I don't need to correct it. I think I accept
- it. This is how I said it. It created it, but it created it from
- the groups which pre-existed the creation of the KLA and, obviously,
- 22 with our participation.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: And you also said, and I quote, this time from
- paragraph 9:
- "The idea of the KLA was born out of the LPK."

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- Is that true and accurate to your knowledge?
- 2 A. Yes, we discussed this earlier as well. The idea of naming or
- unifying of all those groups under one emblem was -- the idea was
- 4 that of the LPK.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: And then you are recorded as saying that you
- joined the KLA in 1994 from being an LPK member, and the expression
- 7 is used "automatically."
- 8 So we've heard you became a KLA member. Would it be fair to
- 9 suggest and, again, correct me if you feel you should that in
- that capacity as an early member of the KLA in 1994, you were one of
- the individuals who contributed to the establishment of the KLA from
- the LPK? Would you accept that suggestion?
- 13 A. Yes, Your Honour. However, when we discussed this with the
- Prosecutor as well, my opinion was that I felt morally a member of
- the KLA from the day it was named by the LPK, because I was only
- 16 waiting to be called or when the need would arise. Because from that
- date, from 1994, I was ready any day to go to Kosovo and fight if I
- was asked to do so or if I was instructed by my friends. And this
- was the case for every member of the LPK in Switzerland, Germany,
- 20 United States, everywhere in the world.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: I'll come to 17 November in a second, sir. But
- 22 who would you say were the other individuals who helped create or set
- up the KLA from the LPK?
- 24 A. I am -- I regret, but apart from those names I mentioned as
- members of the special groups, I do not have more knowledge. I do

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- not know the specifics. I was not inside those groups. I am just a
- 2 part of the LPK.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Okay. So I'll do that differently, sir.
- Can the Registry please bring up document ERN 074625. And the
- 5 Albanian has the same ERN number, if that helps.
- So I'll give you a bit of context, sir, and we'll look at it
- 7 together. This is a document -- if we go to the last page, please,
- 8 in both languages. Thank you.
- As you can see, this is a document that was prepared or created
- by Emrush Xhemajli, the man you mentioned a moment ago as an
- important member of the LPK and the KLA, and he prepared it in
- February 2002 pursuant to a decision of the LPK General Council
- 13 Chairmanship.
- We can go back to the first page, please.
- And as you can see from that page, this is, in effect, a short
- 16 history of the -- what is called the "People's Movement of Kosovo" on
- the cover page from "1982 2002, 20 years of activity."
- Now, my first question for you is are you familiar with this
- document? Have you already seen it before?
- 20 A. Yes, Your Honour, I've seen it. Although this newspaper was
- published in 2002. I was in prison at the time. After my release
- from prison in March 2003, I read it. I maybe do not remember the
- entire content, but I read it.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: If we can turn to page 6, please, of both the
- 25 English and the Albanian.

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)
Questioned by the Trial Panel

- And, sir, if I can ask you to please focus on the bullet point
- with the dates 1993 to 1995. Do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes, Your Honour.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: So I'll read it to you and I'll ask you a
- 5 couple of questions about it. It starts in these words:
- "Parts of the LPK Councils in Skenderaj, Gllogoc (Drenas),
- 7 Klina, Ferizaj, Prishtina, Podujeva (today Besiana), Suhareke
- 8 (Theranda), Prizren, Rahovec, Malisheva, Decan, Gjakova, Peja,
- 9 Gjilan, Kercova, Tetova, Skopje, Kumanova, were transformed, some
- 10 earlier than others, into the first armed units."
- My first question is, is it right that before the conflict, in
- particular in the early 1990s, the LPK was also organised both in
- 13 Kosovo and in Macedonia into the same sort of local council that you
- 14 described in Switzerland?
- 15 A. Yes, I think that's right.
- 16 JUDGE METTRAUX: And then slowly with time, as this document
- describes, some of these councils were turned into armed units when,
- in effect, the LPK morphed into the KLA. Would you agree with that?
- 19 A. Yes, Your Honour. I agree. Every member of the LPK considered
- themselves as a soldier of the Kosovo Liberation Army.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Then the document goes on to say this:
- "The most well-known activists who shifted from political to
- 23 military work included: Durmish Gashi, Halil Cadraku, Selim Gashi,
- 24 Xheladin Gashi, Sokol Bashota, Fatmir Limaj, Raqip Shala,
- Rexhep Selimi, Muje Krasniqi, Isa Krasniqi, Asllan Pantina, Skender

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- 1 Rexhepi, Fadil Nimani, Rexhep Bislimi, Qerim Kelmendi, Adrian
- 2 Krasniqi, Gursel Sylejmani, Beqir Limani, Xhemajl Jashari, Ismet
- 3 Sylejmani, Shaqir Shaqiri, [and] Jonuz Musliu, etc."
- Now, would you agree with the suggestion that is being made
- here, to the extent you know these people, of course, that these are
- 6 well-known activists who shifted from political to military work
- 7 during that period?
- 8 A. I agree that we were in the service of.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: So you have no issue here with any of the
- 10 names?
- 11 A. I don't, sir. 99 per cent, 100 per cent of these names, I know
- them -- or, I apologise, I knew, because the majority of them have
- passed away or were killed.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Now, I'll ask you, if you may, to read the next
- bullet point in this document. May you take a moment to read it.
- So I'll read it. For the non-Albanian speakers, it says:
- "17 November 1998, Aarau, Switzerland: The first military
- 18 Communiqué was issued. The LPK Chairmanship, at the insistence of
- 19 the armed groups within the country, decided to start issuing
- communiqués by taking responsibility for them. After a promised
- discussion, considering also the proposals of the military groups in
- the field, the Chairmanship decided that the new military
- organisation would be called 'Kosovo Liberation Army'. It was
- decided that the communiqué would bear no. 11. Participants in this
- meeting included: Fazli Veliu, Emrush Xhemajli, Ali Ahmeti,

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- 1 Xhavit Haliti, [and] Gafurr Elshani."
- Now, I think you have already, but can you confirm that the
- first KLA military communiqué was issued on that date, 17 November
- 4 1994?
- 5 A. Yes, I think so.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: And would you agree that this was an important
- occasion for the KLA? Would you agree with that?
- 8 A. Your Honour, this, in my opinion, was a very important occasion
- 9 specifically for the KLA and in general for all of Kosovo and the
- 10 Albanian people.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Because the KLA was coming out under its own
- name and in its own voice. Do you agree?
- 13 A. Yes. Because a formation named, not an illegal person, not an
- ex-person, but an organised unity came out that would communicate
- with the enemy by using weapons, and this was for the first time that
- this happened.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Now, we see who Mr. Xhemajli suggests was at
- that meeting on 17 November 1994. Xhavit Haliti has suggested that
- the authors of this first communiqué were himself, Xhavit Haliti,
- 20 Azem Syla, Emrush Xhemajli, and Ali Ahmeti. Do you have any reason
- to doubt the suggestion?
- 22 A. No, I don't, Your Honour, because all of them were either
- 23 members of the chairmanship or of the group for special action. So
- all these names stand to what is written there.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: I forget to ask you this a moment ago. But

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

-

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- when you left Switzerland on 11 March 1998, I understood you took a
- plane to, was it, Tirana; is that right?
- 3 A. No, Your Honour. I went from Zurich to Prishtine.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: And who did you leave Switzerland with, if
- 5 anyone? Was any other LPK member from Switzerland with you on that
- 6 occasion?
- 7 A. I travelled by plane on my own. And the comrades that I
- 8 mentioned earlier, they first went to Albania and were to enter
- 9 Kosovo on foot. I myself went by plane to Prishtine.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Does that mean they arrived shortly after you
- or had they already arrived in Kosovo when you made it there?
- 12 A. Sir, I don't think that they arrived before me. I arrived in
- one and a half hour, and their trip lasted for at least three or four
- days, their equipment with weapons and preparations to enter Kosovo.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Thank you. Now, there's something else,
- something different, sir, that I want to clarify with you. It's
- something you were asked about and which you answered to during your
- SPO interview. That's at Part 1, page 9 in particular, of P1854.
- 19 And you were asked at the time whether you had any criminal
- conviction. And there's an exchange that follows on this issue where
- your lawyer intervenes and says that there was an investigation, an
- indictment, but that you were acquitted and released by an UNMIK
- court.
- Do you recall that exchange?
- 25 A. Yes, Your Honour.

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- JUDGE METTRAUX: Now, I'd like to clarify this with you. What
- was this case that was being mentioned when there was an
- investigation, an indictment, and, you say, a release from UNMIK
- 4 court? What case was that?
- 5 A. Yes, Your Honour. In 2001, on 8 May, I was arrested under the
- 6 charge of killing Commander Drini. I spent two years in prison.
- 7 After 126 sessions, trial sessions, three panels, because none of the
- panels could reach a decision, on 23 March 2003 I was acquitted. And
- 9 later on, the members of the group with whom I was arrested were also
- 10 released.
- 11 And after that, I was convicted for illegal possession of
- weapons, for weapons that were kept in my house. I was sentenced to
- two and a half years for illegal possession of weapons and not for
- the -- on the charges that I was initially arrested. For those
- 15 charges, I was acquitted.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Well, I'm grateful for this clarification, sir,
- 17 because I'm looking at the judgment, and you were, indeed, from these
- records, acquitted of an allegation of complicity in a plot to kill
- 19 Drini but convicted of, as you said, weapons possession. So you
- have, in fact, a criminal conviction; is that right?
- 21 A. Yes, Your Honour. That's right.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: And your fellow defendant and fellow KLA member
- Sali Veseli was convicted, if I understand, for a plot to murder
- 24 Drini; is that right?
- 25 A. At the moment I was acquitted, he was sentenced to 15 years, but

| Witness: | Halil | Qadraku | (Resumed) (Private | Session) | Page | 22861 |
|-----------|---------|-----------|--------------------|----------|------|-------|
| Questione | ed by t | the Trial | Panel | | | |

| 1 | I know that one year afterwards he was acquitted and released as |
|-----|--|
| 2 | innocent. |
| 3 | JUDGE METTRAUX: And then he was re-tried and convicted once |
| 4 | again; is that right? |
| 5 | A. I don't remember, Your Honour. I might make a mistake. What I |
| 6 | remember is that he was acquitted once and released. I don't |
| 7 | remember the rest. |
| 8 | JUDGE METTRAUX: Now can we please go into private session, |
| 9 | please, for the protection of the witness. |
| . 0 | [Private session] |
| L1 | [Private session text removed] |
| _2 | |
| 13 | |
| L 4 | |
| L5 | |
| . 6 | |
| .7 | |
| L8 | |
| . 9 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |

KSC-BC-2020-06 27 November 2024

25

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Private Session)

Questioned by the Trial Panel

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Private Session)

Questioned by the Trial Panel

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Private Session)

Questioned by the Trial Panel

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Private Session)

Questioned by the Trial Panel

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Private Session)

Questioned by the Trial Panel

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Private Session)

Questioned by the Trial Panel

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Private Session)

Questioned by the Trial Panel

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Private Session)

Questioned by the Trial Panel

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Private Session)

Questioned by the Trial Panel

KSC-BC-2020-06

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Private Session)

Questioned by the Trial Panel

[Private session text removed] 1 2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 [Open session] 17 THE COURT OFFICER: Your Honours, we're in public session. 18 Thank you. 19 20 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: We'll take a ten-minute break, Witness. [The witness stands down] 21 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: We're adjourned for ten minutes. 22 --- Break taken at 3.41 p.m. 23 24 --- On resuming at 3.50 p.m. 25 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Please bring the witness in.

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

notive specialize enamed.

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

MR. DIXON: Your Honour, I did ask just for five minutes before

- 2 the witness came in just so as --
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Hold on.
- 4 MR. DIXON: -- not to interrupt. And I just wanted to raise a
- 5 concern, and the other Defence teams can add as well.
- Just in relation to this particular document, I think
- Your Honours will know from my cross-examination that there's no case
- 8 being put that this is one big forgery, and the same for P500, which
- 9 I'll come on to later in relation to Judge Barthe's question.
- So in that regard, what we're concerned about is the Bench
- seeking to impugn a witness on a matter that's not relevant to the
- Defence case. That hasn't been put forward. Likewise, the
- Prosecution is relying on his credibility as well. They are relying
- on this witness as a witness of truth.
- So neither party in the courtroom has challenged the credibility
- of the witness. And for the Bench to be doing that for the first
- time is a matter that we would object to. That's not the role of
- 18 the --
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: I believe I recall --
- MR. DIXON: -- the Judges' questions.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: I believe I recall the witness saying
- 22 the exact opposite, though. That he did not think it was -- he
- thought it was phony. He didn't like it.
- MR. DIXON: Yes, but that's not the case we are putting
- forwarded and I didn't cross-examine on that basis, and nor has the

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

- Prosecution. And what we're saying, it's then improper for the Bench 1
- to be asking those questions. 2
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: No. No, it's not. And thank you for 3
- the submission, but he will go forward with that.
- MR. DIXON: If Mr. Pace wishes to add, there is one other small 5
- matter I wish to add on to that afterwards. 6
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Mr. Pace. 7
- MR. PACE: Thank you. Yes. Just to say that I think counsel 8
- should limit himself to making submissions as to what he and his 9
- client think are credible and not put the Prosecution's case forward. 10
- We conducted our examination and, as always, reserve the right to 11
- make submissions as to what parts of a witness's evidence to rely on 12
- and which to disregard at the end of the case, having heard 13
- 14 everything. Thank you.
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Thank you. 15
- MR. DIXON: And thank you, Your Honours. One final matter. 16
- It's just in relation to this. Once again, nothing might turn on it 17
- now, but it's a matter of principle for future issues. 18
- The witness was asked about a graphology expert examining a 19
- document, and essentially --20
- JUDGE METTRAUX: He wasn't asked, sir. He volunteered the 21
- point. 22
- MR. DIXON: Yes, but my concern, and I do just wish to raise 23
- this for the record, is that we now have expert evidence introduced 24
- through this witness as a result of questions from the Bench. 25

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

JUDGE METTRAUX: Mr. Dixon --1

- MR. DIXON: And that's improper, we say. 2
- JUDGE METTRAUX: There was no expertise. He was simply asked
- whether he knew that one had been called, because he raised the
- point, which he said he recalled being asked for. To which I then 5
- asked him if he remembered what the conclusion --6
- 7 MR. DIXON: Yes.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: -- of the graphologist was, which is in the 8
- document of which you have had notice, which was determined in that 9
- case, for what it's worth, that the handwriting of the statement in 10
- question was determined to be that of Kimete Krasniqi. He wasn't 11
- asked for his own expertise. 12
- MR. DIXON: That's my objection, that through his evidence, the 13
- 14 evidence of an expert has come onto the record when we haven't had
- the opportunity to look at that, cross-examine on that. Not that 15
- it's necessarily an issue with this particular document, but it may 16
- arise in the future with other documents, and it's a matter of 17
- principle. That's why I'm placing it on the record as an objection. 18
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: We'll address those things when they 19
- come up. 20
- MR. DIXON: Thank you, Your Honours. 21
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Please bring the witness in. 22
- [The witness takes the stand] 23
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Witness, Judge Mettraux has some more 24
- 25 questions for you.

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

Page 22875

JUDGE METTRAUX: Thank you, Judge Smith. 1

Can the Registry please bring up SPOE00035842. And hopefully --2

if you could go to page SPOE00035880, please. And would you be able 3

to split the screen in half and have the next page, which is

SPOE00035881, on the other half, please. 5

So that, sir, is the statement of Kimete Krasniqi which was 6

7 found, the record suggests, at your place. I'll give you a moment to

read it, and I'll read the English for the non-Albanian speakers. It 8

says: 9

13

21

"I became a member in the lines of the K.L.A. on [10 April 1998 10

at the operational zone Drenica] with the superior officer 11

Fehmi Lladrovci. As a condition to become a soldier was an execution 12

of an action of an Albanian-speaking person who worked for security

14 for the Serbian country.

"I accepted this condition with a desire, as I was in a state to 15

find anything, just to be a soldier. People who knew about this 16

action were Mus Jashari, Fehmi Lladrovci, Jahir Demaku, etc. On [15 17

April 1998] I took the weapon and on [19 April 1998] at 5.35 ... p.m. 18

the action was finished. In the action also took place Skender 19

Halili who was responsible, and Fadil Demaku. But, even though the 20

action was well prepared and came at the time set, the person named

Hamez Shtutica, after so much fired rounds of automatic weapons and 22

the receiving of 7 wounds, he still rescues. Around the month of 23

May, I heard from some friends that he was in Macedonia and the 24

K.L.A. had kidnapped him, but now I again got notified that he is 25

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Private Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

| 1 | free in the town of Gllogovac and that we are endangered by him for |
|----|--|
| 2 | the reason that he knows that I prepared the action against him. For |
| 3 | this reason I can't operate in the [operational zone Drenica]." |
| 4 | Can we go back to private session for a moment, please. |
| 5 | [Private session] |
| 6 | [Private session text removed] |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Private Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- 2 [Open session]
- THE COURT OFFICER: Your Honours, we're in public session.
- 4 Thank you.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Thank you.
- Now, there's one last document I want to show you and ask you to
- 7 comment upon if you are able to. That's SITF00245381 to
- 8 SITF00245385-ET. Thank you. And if I may have, perhaps for the
- 9 assistance of the witness that will be easier, there's an Albanian
- version which is at SITF00245112, please. If we could split the
- screen, that would probably be the best.
- So while it comes up, sir, I'll tell you what that is. That's
- something you've already discussed yesterday, I think. It's an
- excerpt from a book written by Bedri Islami, and it is about Ali
- Ahmeti, principally, and it's called "The Truth Ali Ahmeti." Do you
- recall or can you confirm that you are acquainted with this book?
- 17 A. No, Your Honour. I haven't read it so far.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Then I'll ask you to -- if we can scroll down a
- 19 little bit the Albanian version, please.
- 20 And, sir, if you could start reading from the words "frika
- 21 per ... "Do you see that? So please read at your leisure and tell
- me when you reach the end of the page.
- In the English version, it starts with the words: "The first
- suspicion thus came" -- well, I should maybe give you a bit of
- 25 context there.

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- The author is basically dealing with the fears and concerns
- within the KLA about infiltration and about the LPK in this context.
- 3 And the first paragraph that I would ask you to read starts with the
- 4 words:
- The first suspicion thus came from somewhere unexpected, from
- the prison cells. In the joint meeting held between the
- 7 representatives of the LPK inside Kosovo and the presidency of the" -
- 8 and if we can turn in the English "Council of the Expatriate Branch
- 9 from 23 December to 25 December 1993, it was stated expressively that
- 10 Xhavit Haziri, who was in prison, had sent word via members of his
- family that 'The house which we have started to build, we should
- leave alone completely, its bricks are poor, and it will collapse at
- once, so let the colleagues return and study where they were before.'
- "This was our first warning and from what Xhavit Haziri told me
- later, in the short period between his release from prison and his
- disappearance after being kidnapped by the Serbs in [the] spring
- 17 1999, the facts and the questions put to him by the Serbian
- investigators and their Albanian collaborators showed that they had
- begun to pick up the tracks of the first armed organisations."
- Now, first question here, briefly, sir, is: Do you know who
- 21 Xhavit Haziri is?
- 22 A. I have only read about him. I did not know him.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: And can you confirm that he was for a time,
- including in 1993, detained by the Yugoslav authorities?
- 25 A. Yes, I think I've read about this.

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- JUDGE METTRAUX: The book goes on to say:
- "In the meeting which followed the following took part,
- according to the minutes: Besim (Azem Syla), Daja (Fazli Veliu),
- Bashkim (Adnan Asllani), Qamil (Halil Selimi), Selim (Hashim Thaci),
- 5 Abaz (Ali Ahmeti), Muhamet (Emrush Xhemajli), and Zeka
- 6 (Xhavit Haliti)."
- Now, my first question on this is were you made aware of the
- 8 occurrence of that meeting from 23 December to 25 December 1993?
- 9 A. No, Your Honour. We ordinary members had no knowledge about
- these meetings of prominent people, our leaders.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: I'll come to the ordinary members in a second.
- 12 I'll skip the next paragraph. You can read it. In the English it
- starts with: "I have not listed ..." and I'll go to the next which
- 14 starts with:
- "In fact in this period, after the arrests made in Kosovo on
- 2 August 1993, when the following newly-elected members of the LPK
- 17 General Council were arrested: Bajrush Xhemajli, Xhavit Haziri,
- Ahmet Haxhiu, Nehat Selimi in Prishtina, Hysen Gegaj, Ilaz Kadolli in
- 19 Suhareke (Theranda), Behajdin Hallaqi in Prizren, Ramadan Pllana in
- 20 Vushtrri: demands were made for the arrest of Ramadan Avdiu,
- 21 Kadri Veseli, Ramiz Lladrovci and Ibish Neziri, while the following
- went underground: Hashim Thaci, Adnan Asllani, Halil Selimi and
- Azem Syla, the people who used to meet in the Joint Meeting; they
- were the main leaders of the organisations and were responsible for
- setting up the armed organisations."

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- Now, my first question for you is do you recall these arrests
- taking place in 1993 of prominent LPK/KLA leaders?
- 3 A. Yes, Your Honour, I remember. I was in Switzerland, but I
- remember the arrests. Approximately 100 or 104 persons were
- 5 arrested.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: And can you remember as well that a number of
- others were indicted or sought for by the Serbian system, including
- 8 Kadri Veseli and Hashim Thaci? Do you recall that?
- 9 A. Charges were continuously brought by Serbian courts. I do not
- recall now specifically, but we were persecuted, arrested,
- imprisoned. But I do not recall something specific.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Can you recall that a number of these
- individuals, the people who were active trying to set up the KLA,
- went underground to try to protect themselves from the reach of the
- 15 Yugoslav authorities?
- 16 A. Normally, all the members of the movement of LPK or other
- movements as LKCK, they all worked underground, clandestinely, so
- that they would not be noticed and avoid arrests.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Now, the next paragraph, sir, if you may, in
- the English it says:
- "It is interesting that some of the fundamental organisations
- within the People's Movement of Kosovo, the People's Councils,"
- plural, "as they were called then, had an increase in their
- 24 activities, for two basic reasons: An analysis of the causes which
- 25 had led to the arrests was required and, more importantly, a new

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- 1 modus operandi was required ..."
- 2 A. Your Honour.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Yes.
- 4 A. I apologise. After the word "the People's Movement of Kosovo,
- 5 the People's Councils," as they were called at the time, there was a
- freeze. And freeze means withdrawal from work, not increase of work.
- 7 The word in the Albanian is "freeze."
- 8 JUDGE METTRAUX: And that was my question to you, sir. I want
- 9 you to explain it in your own words, if you may, is how did these
- arrests and attempts by the Serbian system to either detain or
- otherwise deal with LPK members affect the people's council, and
- maybe you can speak about yours in Switzerland, but more generally if
- 13 you may?
- 14 A. I remember this time, this period, Your Honour, when many of our
- people, prominent people, who had done good work for the good of
- 16 Kosovo were arrested, and this was a real blow. As I mentioned
- earlier, more than 100 persons were arrested. And this was a concern
- for every member of the chairmanship and of the general council. How
- 19 did this happen? There was something going wrong. There was
- 20 mistakes made somewhere, because it was impossible for this number of
- 21 people to be arrested in such a short time.
- That's why the people's councils asked for a freeze, for a
- suspension, to pose the question: Why are all these arrests taking
- place, and why is this uncovering of our ranks happening.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: And what, if anything, was the result of your

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- analysis within the people's council, if that was done?
- 2 A. The people's councils were not able to do such an analysis.
- 3 Such an analysis could be done only by those who were directly
- 4 involved in the movements and the coordination within Kosovo. I,
- Your Honour, was not at that level, at that close circle of the time.
- JUDGE METTRAUX: Thank you, sir. Those were my questions.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Judge Gaynor.
- JUDGE GAYNOR: Thank you, Judge Smith.
- 9 Good afternoon, Witness. I just have a few more questions
- mainly to ask you to clarify some ambiguities in your evidence.
- 11 First of all, I think you've confirmed that Nexhmi Krasniqi was
- the military police commander at the zone level in the Pashtrik
- operative zone. Did you consider him to be a member of the zone
- 14 command?
- 15 A. Nexhmi Krasniqi, the commander of military police, was
- 16 considered part of the zone. Yes.
- JUDGE GAYNOR: Of the zone command?
- 18 A. That I wouldn't know, but I believe, yes.
- JUDGE GAYNOR: Now, earlier you said that if you wanted the
- 20 military police to do something, my understanding was that the
- 21 procedure was that you had to make a request to the zone commander,
- and then he would issue an order to the military police commander,
- which is Mr. Nexhmi Krasniqi. You remember saying that?
- 24 A. Yes, Your Honour.
- JUDGE GAYNOR: My question is this: How do you know that this

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- was the structure you had to follow? Did you have a written document
- 2 setting out that procedure?
- 3 A. Your Honour, as a zone, we did not have rules, if you're
- 4 referring to rules or regulations. But in March in 1999, myself,
- 5 Sadik Halitjaha, with the approval of the commander Tahir Sinani,
- 6 compiled a provisional rules on how to coordinate. We did have such
- 7 rules. I had one in my documents which was about 12 A4 pages. We
- 8 did not have general rules or regulations. This is something that we
- 9 did ourselves. It was stamped by the commander Tahir Sinani and the
- 10 Pashtrik operational zone stamp, and it was also stamped by
- 11 Bislim Zyrapi with the General Staff stamp.
- JUDGE GAYNOR: Was it your understanding that the zone
- commander, when issuing orders to the military police commander,
- Nexhmi Krasniqi, could then ensure that military police units at the
- brigade level and at the battalion level would follow that order?
- 16 A. Yes, Your Honour. Depending on the intervention. Sometimes
- there were cases when he would issue an order to the military police
- to carry out a task but then send a company, military company as a
- 19 reinforcement for that military police unit. But Tahir Sinani was
- the one who could issue orders to all of us who were there.
- JUDGE GAYNOR: Now, you said -- this is page 22714. You said:
- "Everything, serious or not, it was our duty to report during
- our meetings with the commander, the deputy commander, and the other
- sectors, the other six Gs \dots we had daily meetings with the
- commander and weekly meetings with the sector."

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

ROSOVO SPECIALISE CHAMBELS - BASIC COUL

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

1 You remember saying that?

- 2 A. Yes, Your Honour.
- JUDGE GAYNOR: Was the military police commander present at
- 4 those daily meetings with the commander and deputy commander?
- 5 A. Not at every meeting. He was present in most of the meetings.
- 6 That is, he had the obligation to be present.
- JUDGE GAYNOR: And during the period that you served as the ZKZ
- 8 commander in the Pashtrik operational zone, did these daily meetings
- 9 take place virtually every day?
- 10 A. Every day whenever that was possible and when we were able to
- meet and discuss. Because there were days when there was fighting
- and offensives, and there was no time for meetings.
- JUDGE GAYNOR: And were minutes taken of those meetings?
- 14 A. I think so. I think minutes were taken by Tahir Sinani himself
- or Commander Drini. And we, who were his advisers or helpers, would
- provide him with information on paper for him to analyse and assess
- 17 situations later on. That's why I mentioned it on several occasions
- that I had everything written in this report, every proposal, every
- opinion, every view of mine, so that he could discuss it during those
- 20 meetings and decide on them later.
- JUDGE GAYNOR: And speaking of the daily meeting minutes, were
- the daily meeting minutes transmitted to the General Staff?
- 23 A. It was in the hands of the commander, this decision, whether
- they would be transmitted to the General Staff or not. If he thought
- it was important to forward them to the General Staff, he would; if

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session)
Questioned by the Trial Panel

- 1 he didn't, he wouldn't.
- JUDGE GAYNOR: Now, earlier today in response to questions from
- Judge Barthe, I think you said that you realised at one point that
- 4 the reports that you had been providing to the zone commander and
- where you had expressly said that they were to be copied to the
- 6 General Staff, you realised at one stage that there weren't -- some
- of them weren't, in fact, being transmitted to the General Staff; is
- 8 that right?
- 9 A. Yes, Your Honour.
- JUDGE GAYNOR: How did you come to that realisation?
- 11 A. With the failure of the General Staff to intervene, with the
- failure to execute the changes that I requested in those reports,
- with the failure to materialise that request, I realised that nobody
- was dealing with these problems.
- JUDGE GAYNOR: Do you know what proportion of the reports that
- you prepared were, in fact, sent to the General Staff and what
- proportion were not sent to the General Staff?
- 18 A. I wouldn't know that. I was not in a position to ask any of the
- 19 commanders which were sent and which were not sent. It was in the
- 20 commander's hands to decide.
- JUDGE GAYNOR: Now, I want to ask you briefly about the weekly
- meetings with the sector. And you've told us a couple of times that
- you, the sectors, had weekly meetings. Could you explain for me,
- please, who would be present at the weekly meetings of the sectors.
- 25 A. If they were all healthy and sound, all of them would

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- participate, starting from G1, 2, 3, 4, 5, up to the last one. If 1
- you want me, I can mention the names as well. Nexhmi Krasniqi was 2
- there, then the chief of staff, Nexhmedin Kastrati, that is, the 3
- commander, the deputy commander. So this was the composition that
- would meet weekly. And everyone would present their requests, what 5
- they needed, what they had done, what still needed to be done in 6
- 7 relation to a specific thing.
- JUDGE GAYNOR: So I still don't quite understand the distinction 8
- between the daily meetings with the commander and the weekly meetings 9
- with the sector. Because at the daily meetings, all of the sectors 10
- were represented, weren't they? 11
- No, Your Honour. The daily meetings could be held in the open. 12
- It could happen on the road. You could greet the commander and ask 13
- 14 him of something. However, the weekly meetings included the work
- done during that week, the requests for whatever the sectors needed, 15
- and so forth. 16
- So the weekly ones were mandatory. They were briefings. The 17
- daily ones, you could meet, you could not meet; you could talk, you 18
- could not talk. These were smaller ones. 19
- JUDGE GAYNOR: So just to clarify, were minutes taken of both 20
- the daily meetings and the weekly meetings? 21
- Not during the daily ones. But, yes, during the weekly ones. 22
- JUDGE GAYNOR: And were the minutes of the weekly meetings 23
- transmitted, to the best of your knowledge, to the General Staff? 24
- I can speak for myself. Those that I took, I always took them 25

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

with the intention for them to be forwarded to the General Staff. 1

- Now, whether they were, in fact, forwarded or not, that was within 2
- the competence of the commander, and I had no authority to ask him. 3
- JUDGE GAYNOR: I'll have to move on to another subject. I'll
- start the next subject. 5
- It concerns roadblocks. And you've given evidence that names 6
- 7 would be written down and would be given to persons who were manning
- checkpoints, and these were names of persons who were suspected 8
- collaborators. Do you remember giving that evidence? 9
- Yes, Your Honour. Not suspected collaborators but workers, Α. 10
- employees of the Serb security and apparatus that occupied us. As 11
- for collaborators, there could have been some, but you may or may not 12
- call somebody a collaborator. 13
- 14 However, for the workers, employees of that apparatus, we did
- send the names at the checkpoint and made sure that the list, that 15
- writing was at all times at the checkpoints, because the guards there 16
- would change every two hours. 17
- 18 JUDGE GAYNOR: Right. I think we're out of time for today.
- I'll continue in the morning. Thank you very much. 19
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: [Microphone not activated]. 20
- [Trial Panel confers] 21
- JUDGE GAYNOR: I'll continue. 22
- The steps that you would take after a person who was on the 23
- list, what were the instructions to the persons manning checkpoints? 24
- 25 What were they to do with a person who was on the list?

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- 1 A. Your Honour, I stated here today with the other colleagues, they
- were supposed not to allow those persons to enter the territories
- where we were stationed and were operating. These names were just to
- 4 protect ourselves and the population in the area where we were and
- 5 not for actions outside our checkpoints. These persons were not
- allowed to enter the areas where we operated.
- JUDGE GAYNOR: So my concern with your answer is that from the
- 8 perspective of the security of KLA operations throughout Kosovo, were
- 9 you not exposing the KLA to a significant risk by allowing known
- collaborators, known agents to continue to circulate within Kosovo?
- So my question is why didn't you issue instructions to arrest
- those named persons at the checkpoints?
- 13 A. Sir, at checkpoints? Are you saying at checkpoints?
- JUDGE GAYNOR: Yes. What I'm saying is your troops at the
- checkpoints had names of suspected collaborators. If they were to
- stop them, they were simply to say, "Don't enter the Pashtrik
- operational zone." Is that all they were instructed to do?
- 18 A. That was the instruction, not to allow them -- not in the
- 19 Pashtrik operational zone, because Prizren, Dragash were in Pashtrik
- operational zone. I was protecting only the villages where we were
- stationed and that was a large area, so I wanted to make sure that we
- would be able to act and counteract against the enemy.
- JUDGE GAYNOR: Yes. Very briefly. You said that there were
- 5.500 FARK in Tirana, in Albania. Do you remember stating that?
- 25 A. Yes.

1

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel

- JUDGE GAYNOR: And you also said that you criticised "the part
- of those who remained abroad in Switzerland in Germany having fun and
- a good time while people were being slaughtered in Kosovo." That's a
- direct quote of what you said.
- 5 A. Yes, sir. But the majority of them were in Tirana.
- JUDGE GAYNOR: So on what basis do you believe there were 5.500
- 7 FARK in Tirana?
- 8 A. Yes, sir. In 2015, Rrustem Berisha brought the list with the
- 9 5.500 names of members of the FARK, asking for them to be awarded the
- 10 status of war veterans.
- JUDGE GAYNOR: But you do you believe that there were actually
- 5.500 members of FARK sitting around in Tirana while the KLA was
- conducting operations in Albania and in Kosovo?
- 14 A. I cannot tell them, "Don't lie." It's them who said, "This is
- how many we were," the FARKists. Those who entered the territory
- were part of the KLA. They never had any other insignia on their
- 17 shoulder. Those who were abroad, it's different. Those who entered
- the territory were on one occasion 150, on another occasion another
- 20 or 30, so in total around 200. But those 5.300 who asked to be
- recognised the status of the veteran were outside. And I'm not
- speaking -- I'm not making this up. I'm speaking based on documents
- from the Ministry of Defence at the time.
- JUDGE GAYNOR: Those are my questions. Thank you very much.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Thank you.
- Are you going to have any additional questions, Mr. Prosecutor,

Witness Halil Ordraku (Posumod) (Open Session)

Witness: Halil Qadraku (Resumed) (Open Session) Questioned by the Trial Panel Page 22890

- based upon the Judges' questions.
- MR. PACE: I would imagine I wouldn't have to start now,
- 3 Your Honour. If I had some, I think it would be very minimal. I
- 4 would appreciate until the morning to confirm that. But it would be
- less than ten minutes, I would assume.
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: And I assume questions over here, too.
- 7 MR. DIXON: Yes, just a few, but I would need some time to
- 8 consider, particularly in light of some of the new documents being
- 9 used as well.
- 10 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: All right. You -- witness, this
- 11 concludes -- oh, yes.
- MR. KOCI: [Interpretation] Honourable Court, I was expecting to
- finish today. I have a clarification. I raised my hand. I wish to
- address the Judge on your right-hand side. I wouldn't risk
- mispronouncing his name.
- 16 With respect to the unlawful possession of weapon based on
- 17 Article 96 of the Criminal Code of Kosovo, my client is considered as
- not having a prior conviction because we have a legal institution
- 19 called the rehabilitation. He indicated this information to the
- 20 Prosecutor, and his sentence covered time spent in detention
- 21 precisely. Then there was also an amnesty law after all this, and so
- this is a part of the legal rehabilitation. Those weapons were also
- war trophies, et cetera.
- I also have a request which I will submit tomorrow since we're
- 25 meeting again tomorrow.

Kosovo Specialist Chambers - Basic Court

1 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Yes.

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

- 2 Witness, we had hoped we would be finished today also but that
- just didn't happen. You will have to come back tomorrow. We will
- 4 get you home tomorrow at some time. Thank you for being with us. Do
- not speak with anyone about your testimony until you've finished
- 6 testifying.
- 7 You may leave the courtroom now with the Court Usher.
- 8 Go ahead.
- 9 [The witness stands down]
- 10 PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Koci.
- 11 Yes, Mr. Ellis.
- MR. ELLIS: Your Honour, if it's convenient, there were two
- short matters that I wanted to raise at this point.
- The first is in relation to the next witness, 4820. With
- Your Honours' leave, I would cross-examine first on behalf of the
- Defence, and my time estimate is reduced to 20 to 25 minutes.
- 17 The second is in relation to --
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: [Microphone not activated].
- 19 MR. ELLIS: I'm grateful. I'm grateful.
- 20 And the second is in relation to the further cross-examination
- of this witness. There's a document that I'm considering using which
- was on the 15 items that were notified by Your Honours. Would you
- require a presentation queue to be released from us if we were to use
- one of those documents?
- PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: Well, they're not actually on a

Procedural Matters (Open Session)

Page 22892

```
presentation queue at the present time, so you should probably add
      them to a presentation queue.
2
           MR. ELLIS: I'm grateful. Thank you.
 3
           PRESIDING JUDGE SMITH: [Microphone not activated].
           We're adjourned until 9.00 a.m. tomorrow.
 5
                          --- Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 4.39 p.m.
 6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```